# ARB 43: Restatement and Revision of Accounting Research Bulletins

#### **ARB 43 STATUS**

Issued: June 1953

Effective Date: June 1953 (replaced ARBs issued September 1939–January 1953)

Affects: No other pronouncements

Affected by: Chapter 1A, paragraph 1 amended by FAS 111, paragraph 8(a)

Chapter 1A, paragraph 3 deleted by FAS 141(R), paragraph E5

Chapter 1A, paragraph 4 deleted by FAS135, paragraph 4(a)

Chapter 1B; Chapter 5, paragraph 7; Chapter 7B, paragraph 6; Chapter 9C; Chapter 10B; Chapter 12, paragraphs 12 and 18; and Chapter 15, paragraph 12 amended by APB 6, paragraphs 13, 15, 16, 23, 23, 18, and 19, respectively

Chapter 1B, paragraph 7 amended by APB 6, paragraph 12; APB 16, paragraph 7; and FAS 135, paragraph 4(b)

Chapter 2A, paragraph 3 amended by APB 20, paragraph 5(a), and FAS 154, paragraph C2

Chapter 2B and Chapter 8 deleted by APB 9, paragraph 2

Chapter 3A, paragraph 4 amended by FAS 158, paragraph F2(a)

Chapter 3A, paragraph 4(f) amended by FAS 115, paragraph 125

Chapter 3A, paragraph 6(g) amended by APB 21, paragraph 5, and FAS 111, paragraph 8(a)

Chapter 3A, paragraph 7 amended by FAS 78, paragraph 5, and FAS 158, paragraph F2(b)

Chapter 3A, paragraph 8 amended by FAS 6, paragraph 16

Chapter 3A, paragraph 9 amended by FAS 135, paragraph 4(a)

Chapter 3A, paragraph 10 added by APB 6, paragraph 14

Chapter 3A, footnote 4 deleted by FAS 6, paragraph 16

Chapter 3B replaced by APB 10, paragraph 7

Chapter 4, paragraph 5 and footnote 2 amended by FAS 151, paragraph 2

Chapter 4, paragraph 5A added by FAS 151, paragraph 2

Chapter 4, paragraph 8 amended by FAS 133, paragraph 526

Chapter 5, paragraphs 1 through 9 deleted prospectively by APB 17, paragraph 8

Chapter 5, paragraphs 5, 6, 8, and 9, and footnote 1; Chapter 10B, paragraphs 15 and 17; Chapter 12, paragraph 21; and Chapter 15, paragraphs 7 and 17 amended by APB 9, paragraph 2

Chapter 5, paragraphs 8 and 10 amended by FAS 44, paragraphs 3 and 4

Chapter 5, paragraph 10 deleted prospectively by APB 16, paragraph 7

Chapter 5 deleted by FAS 142, paragraph D1

Chapter 6 deleted by FAS 5, paragraph 7

Chapter 7A, paragraph 10 amended by FAS 111, paragraph 8(a)

Chapter 7C deleted by ARB 48

Chapter 9B replaced by APB 6, paragraph 17

Chapter 9C, paragraph 5 amended by FAS 96, paragraph 205(a), and FAS 109, paragraph 288(a)

Chapter 9C, paragraphs 11 through 13 amended by APB 11, paragraph 2(a)

Chapter 9C, paragraphs 11 through 13 replaced by FAS 96, paragraph 205(a), and FAS

109, paragraph 288(a)

Chapter 10A, paragraph 19 amended by APB 9, paragraph 2, and FAS 111, paragraph 8(a)

Chapter 10B deleted by APB 11, paragraph 1(a) and FAS 109, paragraph 288(a)

Chapter 11B, paragraph 8 amended by APB 11, paragraph 2(b)

Chapter 11B, paragraph 8 deleted by FAS 96, paragraph 205(a), and FAS 109, paragraph 288(a)

Chapter 11B, paragraph 9 amended by APB 9, paragraph 2, and FAS 111, paragraph 8(a)

Chapter 11B, footnotes 3 and 4 amended by APB 9, paragraph 2

Chapter 11B, footnotes 3 and 4 deleted by FAS 111, paragraph 8(a)

Chapter 12, paragraph 5 amended by FAS 8, paragraph 4; FAS 52, paragraph 3, footnote 1; and FAS 131, paragraph 129(a)

Chapter 12, paragraph 6 replaced by FAS 131, paragraph 129(b)

Chapter 12, paragraphs 7 and 10 through 22 deleted by FAS 8, paragraph 4, and FAS 52, paragraph 3, footnote 1

Chapter 12, paragraphs 8 and 9 deleted by FAS 94, paragraph 16

Chapter 13A deleted by APB 8, paragraph 6

Chapter 13B, paragraph 2 amended by FAS 123, paragraph 381(a)

Chapter 13B, paragraphs 6 through 13 and footnotes 2 and 3 deleted by APB 25, paragraph 4

Chapter 13B, paragraph 14 amended by APB 25, paragraph 4

Chapter 13B, paragraph 15 deleted by FAS 123, paragraph 381(b)

Chapter 13B deleted by FAS 123(R), paragraph D10

Chapter 14 deleted by APB 5, paragraph 1

Chapter 15, paragraph 11 amended by APB 11, paragraph 2(c)

Chapter 15, paragraph 11 deleted by FAS 96, paragraph 205(a)

Chapter 15 deleted by APB 26, paragraph 2

Other Interpretive Pronouncements: AIN-ARB 43, Chapter 13B, Interpretation No. 1 (Superseded by

APB 25) FTB 85-1 FTB 85-6

AICPA Accounting Standards Executive Committee (AcSEC)

Related Pronouncements: Chapter 1A—SOP 76-3

SOP 93-6

PB 14

Chapter 1B—SOP 76-3

SOP 93-6

PB 14

Chapter 3A—SOP 90-7

Chapter 4—SOP 85-3

SOP 94-6

PB 2 Chapter 7A—SOP 90-7

Chapter 9C—SOP 85-3

SOP 88-1

Issues Discussed by FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF)

Affects: No EITF Issues

Interpreted by: Chapter 3A, paragraph 7 interpreted by EITF Issues No. 86-5 and 86-30

Related Issues: Chapter 1A—EITF Issues No. 85-1 and 97-14

Chapter 2A—EITF Topic No. D-85

Chapter 3A—EITF Issue No. 95-22 Chapter 4—EITF Issues No. 84-10, 84-24, 86-46, 96-9, 01-9, 04-6, 04-13, and 06-12 Chapter 7B—EITF Topic No. D-82

## **PREFACE**

Since its organization the American Institute of Accountants, aware of divergences in accounting procedures and of an increasing interest by the public in financial reporting, has given consideration to problems raised by these divergences. Its studies led it, in 1933, to make certain recommendations to the New York Stock Exchange which were adopted by the Institute in 1934. Further consideration developed into a program of research and the publication of opinions, beginning in 1938, in a series of Accounting Research Bulletins.

Forty-two bulletins were issued during the period from 1939 to 1953. Eight of these were reports of the committee on terminology. The other 34 were the result of research by the committee on accounting procedure directed to those segments of accounting practice where problems were most demanding and with which business and the accounting profession were most concerned at the time.

Some of these studies were undertaken to meet new business or economic developments. Some arose out of the war which ended in 1945 and the problems following in its wake. Certain of the bulletins were amended, superseded, or withdrawn as changing conditions affected their usefulness.

The purposes of this restatement are to eliminate what is no longer applicable, to condense and clarify what continues to be of value, to revise where changed views require revision, and to arrange the retained material by subjects rather than in the order of issuance. The terminology bulletins are not included. They are being published separately.

The committee has made some changes of substance, which are summarized in Appendix B.

The several chapters and subchapters of this restatement and revision are to be regarded as a cancellation and replacement of Accounting Research Bulletins 1 through 42, excepting the terminology bulletins included in that series, which are being replaced by a separate publication.

Although the committee has approved the objective of finding a better term than the word *surplus* for use in published financial statements, it has used surplus herein as being a technical term well understood among accountants, to whom its pronouncements are primarily directed.

Committee on Accounting Procedure

June, 1953

Each section of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, entitled Restatement and Revision of Accounting Research Bulletins, was separately adopted by the assenting votes of the twenty members of the committee except to the extent that dissents, or assents with qualification, are noted at the close of each section. Publication of the bulletin as a whole was approved by the assenting votes of all members of the committee, one of whom, Mr. Andrews, assented with qualification.

Mr. Andrews assents to the publication of this bulletin only to the extent that it constitutes, with no changes in meaning other than those set forth in Appendix B, a restatement of the bulletins previously issued by the committee and not mentioned in appendix C as having been omitted. He dissents from the statement contained in the preface that this bulletin is to be regarded as a cancellation of the previously issued bulletins; he regards it as beyond the power of the committee to cancel its previous statements, which in his view inescapably remain authoritative expressions as at the date of their utterance.

Committee on Accounting Procedure (1952-1953)

Paul K. Knight,Perry MasonWilliam W. WerntzChairmanEdward F. McCormackEdward B. Wilcox

Frederick B. Andrews John Peoples Raymond D. Willard

Frank S. Calkins

Maurice E. Peloubet

Robert W. Williams

H. A. Finney

John W. Queenan

Karl R. Zimmermann

Walter L. Schaffer

Thomas G. Higgins

C. Aubrey Smith
Carman G. Blough,

Director of Research

## **INTRODUCTION**

## **Accounting and the Corporate System**

- 1. Accounting is essential to the effective functioning of any business organization, particularly the corporate form. The test of the corporate system and of the special phase of it represented by corporate accounting ultimately lies in the results which are produced. These results must be judged from the standpoint of society as a whole—not merely from that of any one group of interested persons.
- 2. The uses to which the corporate system is put and the controls to which it is subject change from time to time, and all parts of the machinery must be adapted to meet changes as they occur. In the past fifty years there has been an increasing use of the corporate system for the purpose of converting into readily transferable form the ownership of large, complex, and more or less permanent business enterprises. This evolution has brought in its train certain uses of the processes of law and accounting which have led to the creation of new controls, revisions of the laws, and reconsideration of accounting procedures.
- 3. As a result of this development, the problems in the field of accounting have increasingly come to be considered from the standpoint of the buyer or seller of an interest in an enterprise, with consequent increased recognition of the significance of the income statement and a tendency to restrict narrowly charges and credits to surplus. The fairest possible presentation of periodic net income, with neither material overstatement nor understatement, is important, since the results of operations are significant not only to prospective buyers of an interest in the enterprise but also to prospective sellers. With the increasing importance of the income statement there has been a tendency to regard the balance sheet as the connecting link between successive income statements; however this concept should not obscure the fact that the balance sheet has significant uses of its own.
- 4. This evolution has also led to a demand for a larger degree of uniformity in accounting. *Uniformity* has usually connoted similar treatment of the same item occurring in many cases, in which sense it runs the risk of concealing important differences among cases. Another sense of the word would require that different authorities working independently on the same case should reach the same conclusions. Although uniformity is a worthwhile goal, it should not be pursued to the exclusion of other benefits. Changes of emphasis and objective as well as changes in conditions under which business operates have led, and doubtless will continue to lead, to the adoption of new accounting procedures. Consequently diversity of practice may continue as new practices are adopted before old ones are completely discarded.

## **Applicability of Committee Opinions**

5. The principal objective of the committee has been to narrow areas of difference and inconsistency in accounting practices, and to further the development and recognition of generally accepted accounting principles, through the issuance of opinions and recommendations that would serve as criteria for determining the suitability of accounting practices reflected in financial statements and representations of commercial and industrial companies. In this endeavor, the committee has considered the interpretation and application of such principles as appeared to it to be pertinent to particular accounting problems. The committee has not directed its attention to accounting problems or procedures of religious, charitable,

scientific, educational, and similar non-profit institutions, municipalities, professional firms, and the like. Accordingly, except where there is a specific statement of a different intent by the committee, its opinions and recommendations are directed primarily to business enterprises organized for profit.

## **Voting Procedure in Adopting Opinions**

- 6. The committee regards the representative character and general acceptability of its opinions as of the highest importance, and to that end has adopted the following procedures:
- a. Any opinion or recommendation before issuance is submitted in final form to all members of the committee either at a meeting or by mail.
- b. No such opinion or recommendation is issued unless it has received the approval of two-thirds of the entire committee.
- c. Any member of the committee dissenting from an opinion or recommendation issued under the preceding rule is entitled to have the fact of his dissent and his reasons therefore recorded in the document in which the opinion or recommendation is presented.
- 7. Before reaching its conclusions, the committee gives careful consideration to prior opinions, to prevailing practices, and to the views of professional and other bodies concerned with accounting procedures.

## **Authority of Opinions**

- 8. Except in cases in which formal adoption by the Institute membership has been asked and secured, the authority of opinions reached by the committee rests upon their general acceptability. The committee recognizes that in extraordinary cases fair presentation and justice to all parties at interest may require exceptional treatment. But the burden of justifying departure from accepted procedures, to the extent that they are evidenced in committee opinions, must be assumed by those who adopt another treatment.
- 9. The committee contemplates that its opinions will have application only to items material and significant in the relative circumstances. It considers that items of little or no consequence may be dealt with as expediency may suggest. However, freedom to deal expediently with immaterial items should not extend to a group of items whose cumulative effect in any one financial statement may be material and significant.

## **Opinions not Retroactive**

10. No opinion issued by the committee is intended to have a retroactive effect unless it contains a statement of such intention. Thus an opinion will ordinarily have no application to a transaction arising prior to its publication, nor to transactions in process of completion at the time of publication. But while the committee considers it inequitable to make its statements retroactive, it does not wish to discourage the revision of past accounts in an individual case if it appears to be desirable in the circumstances.

## The Company and Its Auditors

11. Underlying all committee opinions is the fact that the accounts of a company are primarily the responsibility of management. The responsibility of the auditor is to express his opinion concerning the financial statements and to state clearly such explanations, amplifications, disagreement, or disapproval as he deems appropriate. While opinions of the committee are addressed particularly to certified public accountants whose problem it is to decide what they may properly report, the committee recommends similar application of the procedures mentioned herein by those who prepare the accounts and financial statements.

## Chapter 1: PRIOR OPINIONS

## Section A — Rules Adopted by Membership

Below are reprinted the six rules adopted by the membership of the Institute in 1934, the first five

of which had been recommended in 1933 to the New York Stock Exchange by the Institute's committee on cooperation with stock exchanges.

- 1. Unrealized profit should not be credited to income account of the corporation either directly or indirectly, through the medium of charging against such unrealized profits amounts which would ordinarily fall to be charged against income account. Profit is deemed to be realized when a sale in the ordinary course of business is effected, unless the circumstances are such that the collection of the sale price is not reasonably assured. In the absence of the circumstances referred to above or other specific guidance, such as in FASB Statement No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate, the installment method is not acceptable. An exception to the general rule may be made in respect of inventories in industries (such as packing-house industry) in which owing to the impossibility of determining costs it is a trade custom to take inventories at net selling prices, which may exceed cost.
- 2. Capital surplus, however created, should not be used to relieve the income account of the current or future years of charges which would otherwise fall to be made thereagainst. This rule might be subject to the exception that where, upon reorganization, a reorganized company would be relieved of charges which would require to be made against income if the existing corporation were continued, it might be regarded as permissible to accomplish the same result without reorganization provided the facts were as fully revealed to and the action as formally approved by the shareholders as in reorganization.

[Note: After the adoption of FASB Statement No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (effective for business combinations with an acquisition date on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after 12/15/08), paragraph 3 is deleted.]

- 3. Earned surplus of a subsidiary company created prior to acquisition does not form a part of the consolidated earned surplus of the parent company and subsidiaries; nor can any dividend declared out of such surplus properly be credited to the income account of the parent company.
- 4. [This paragraph has been deleted. See Status page.]
- 5. Notes or accounts receivable due from officers, employees, or affiliated companies must be shown separately and not included under a general heading such as notes receivable or accounts receivable.
- 6. If capital stock is issued nominally for the acquisition of property and it appears that at about the same time, and pursuant to a previous agreement or understanding, some portion of the stock so issued is donated to the corporation, it is not permissible to treat the par value of the stock nominally issued for the property as the cost of that property. If stock so donated is subsequently sold, it is not permissible to treat the proceeds as a credit to surplus of the corporation.

## Section B — Opinion Issued by Predecessor Committee

1. Following an inquiry made by the New York Stock Exchange, a predecessor committee on accounting procedure in 1938 issued the following report:

## "Profits or Losses on Treasury Stock"

2. "The executive committee of the American Institute of Accountants has directed that the following report of the committee on accounting procedure, which it received at a meeting on April 8, 1938, be published, without approval or disapproval of the committee, for the information of members of the Institute:

To the Executive Committee, American Institute of Accountants:

- 3. "This committee has had under consideration the question regarding treatment of purchase and sale by a corporation of its own stock, which was raised during 1937 by the New York Stock Exchange with the Institute's special committee on cooperation with stock exchanges.
- 4. "As a result of discussions which then took place, the special committee on cooperation with stock

exchanges made a report which was approved by the committee on accounting procedure and the executive committee, and a copy of which was furnished to the committee on stock list of the New York Stock Exchange. The question raised was stated in the following form:

- 5. "'Should the difference between the purchase and resale prices of a corporation's own common stock be reflected in earned surplus (either directly or through inclusion in the income account) or should such difference be reflected in capital surplus?'
- 6. "The opinion of the special committee on cooperation with stock exchanges reads in part as follows:
- 7. "'Apparently there is general agreement that the difference between the purchase price and the stated value of a corporation's common stock purchased and retired should be reflected in capital surplus. Your committee believes that while the net asset value of the shares of common stock outstanding in the hands of the public may be increased or decreased by such purchase and retirement, such transactions relate to the capital of the corporation and do not give rise to corporate profits or losses. Your committee can see no essential difference between (a) the purchase and retirement of a corporation's own common stock and the subsequent issue of common shares, and (b) the purchase and resale of its own common stock.'
- a. When a corporation's stock is retired, or purchased for constructive retirement (with or without an intention to retire the stock formally in accordance with applicable laws):
  - i. an excess of purchase price over par or stated value may be allocated between capital surplus and retained earnings. The portion of the excess allocated to capital surplus should be limited to the sum of (a) all capital surplus arising from previous retirements and net "gains" on sales of treasury stock of the same issue and (b) the prorata portion of capital surplus paid in, voluntary transfers of retained earnings, capitalization of stock dividends, etc., on the same issue. For this purpose, any remaining capital surplus applicable to issues fully retired (formal or constructive) is deemed to be applicable prorata to shares of common stock. Alternatively, the excess may be charged entirely to retained earnings in recognition of the fact that a corporation can always capitalize or allocate retained earnings for such purposes.
  - ii. an excess of par or stated value over purchase price should be credited to capital surplus.
- b. When a corporation's stock is acquired for purposes other than retirement (formal or constructive), or when ultimate disposition has not yet been decided, the cost of acquired stock may be shown separately as a deduction from the total of capital stock, capital surplus, and retained earnings, or may be accorded the accounting treatment appropriate for retired stock. "Gains" on sales of treasury stock not previously accounted for as constructively retired should be credited to capital surplus; "losses" may be charged to capital surplus to the extent that previous net "gains" from sales or retirements of the same class of stock are included therein, otherwise to retained earnings.
- c. [This subparagraph has been deleted. See Status page.]
- 8. "This committee is in agreement with the views thus expressed; it is aware that such transactions have been held to give rise to taxable income, but it does not feel that such decisions constitute any bar to the application of correct accounting procedure as above outlined.
- 9. "The special committee on cooperation with stock exchanges continued and concluded its report with the following statement:
- 10. "'Accordingly, although your committee recognizes that there may be cases where the transactions involved are so inconsequential as to be immaterial, it does not believe that, as a broad general principle, such transactions should be reflected in earned surplus (either directly or through inclusion in the income account).'
- 11. "This committee agrees with the special committee on cooperation with stock exchanges, but thinks it desirable to point out that the qualification should not be applied to any transaction which, although in itself inconsiderable in amount, is a part of a series of transactions which in the aggregate are of substantial importance."
- 11A. Laws of some states govern the circumstances under which a corporation may acquire its own

stock and prescribe the accounting treatment therefore. Where such requirements are at variance with paragraph 7, the accounting should conform to the applicable law. When state laws relating to acquisition of stock restrict the availability of retained earnings for payment of dividends or have other effects of a significant nature, these facts should be disclosed.

12. "This committee recommends that the views expressed be circulated for the information of members of the Institute."

## Chapter 2: FORM OF STATEMENTS

## Section A — Comparative Financial Statements

- 1. The presentation of comparative financial statements in annual and other reports enhances the usefulness of such reports and brings out more clearly the nature and trends of current changes affecting the enterprise. Such presentation emphasizes the fact that statements for a series of periods are far more significant than those for a single period and that the accounts for one period are but an instalment of what is essentially a continuous history.
- 2. In any one year it is ordinarily desirable that the balance sheet, the income statement, and the surplus statement be given for one or more preceding years as well as for the current year. Footnotes, explanations, and accountants' qualifications which appeared on the statements for the preceding years should be repeated, or at least referred to, in the comparative statements to the extent that they continue to be of significance. If, because of reclassifications or for other reasons, changes have occurred in the manner of or basis for presenting corresponding items for two or more periods, information should be furnished which will explain the change. This procedure is in conformity with the well recognized principle that any change in practice which affects comparability should be disclosed.
- 3. It is necessary that prior-year figures shown for comparative purposes be in fact comparable with those shown for the most recent period, or that any exceptions to comparability be clearly brought out as described in FASB Statement No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*.
- 4. Circumstances vary so greatly that it is not practicable to deal here specifically with all situations. The independent accountant should, however, make very clear what statements are included within the scope of his report.

## Section B—Combined Statement of Income and Earned Surplus

[Section B has been deleted. See Status page.]

## Chapter 3: WORKING CAPITAL

## Section A — Current Assets and Current Liabilities

- 1. The working capital of a borrower has always been of prime interest to grantors of credit; and bond indentures, credit agreements, and preferred stock agreements commonly contain provisions restricting corporate actions which would effect a reduction or impairment of working capital. Many such contracts forego precise or uniform definitions and merely provide that current assets and current liabilities shall be determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Considerable variation and inconsistency exist, however, with respect to their classification and display in financial statements. In this section the committee discusses the nature of current assets and current liabilities with a view toward a more useful presentation thereof in financial statements.
- 2. The committee believes that, in the past, definitions of current assets have tended to be overly concerned with whether the assets may be immediately realizable. The discussion which follows takes cognizance of the tendency for creditors to rely more upon the ability of debtors to pay their obligations out

of the proceeds of current operations and less upon the debtor's ability to pay in case of liquidation. It should be emphasized that financial statements of a going concern are prepared on the assumption that the company will continue in business. Accordingly, the views expressed in this section represent a departure from any narrow definition or strict one year interpretation of either current assets or current liabilities; the objective is to relate the criteria developed to the operating cycle of a business.

- Financial position, as it is reflected by the records and accounts from which the statement is prepared, is revealed in a presentation of the assets and liabilities of the enterprise. In the statements of manufacturing, trading, and service enterprises these assets and liabilities are generally classified and segregated; if they are classified logically, summations or totals of the current or circulating or working assets, hereinafter referred to as current assets, and of obligations currently payable, designated as current liabilities, will permit the ready determination of working capital. Working capital, sometimes called net working capital, is represented by the excess of current assets over current liabilities and identifies the relatively liquid portion of total enterprise capital which constitutes a margin or buffer for meeting obligations within the ordinary operating cycle of the business. If the conventions of accounting relative to the identification and presentation of current assets and current liabilities are made logical and consistent, the amounts, bases of valuations, and composition of such assets and liabilities and their relation to the total assets or capital employed will provide valuable data for credit and management purposes and afford a sound basis for comparisons from year to year. It is recognized that there may be exceptions, in special cases, to certain of the inclusions and exclusions as set forth in this section. When such exceptions occur they should be accorded the treatment merited in the particular circumstances under the general principles outlined herein.
- For accounting purposes, the term *current assets* is used to designate cash and other assets or resources commonly identified as those which are reasonably expected to be realized in cash or sold or consumed during the normal operating cycle of the business. Thus the term comprehends in general such resources as (a) cash available for current operations and items which are the equivalent of cash; (b) inventories of merchandise, raw materials, goods in process, finished goods, operating supplies, and ordinary maintenance material and parts; (c) trade accounts, notes, and acceptances receivable; (d) receivables from officers, employees, affiliates, and others, if collectible in the ordinary course of business within a year; (e) instalment or deferred accounts and notes receivable if they conform generally to normal trade practices and terms within the business; (f) marketable securities representing the investment of cash available for current operations, including investments in debt and equity securities classified as trading securities under FASB Statement No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities; and (g) prepaid expenses such as insurance, interest, rents, taxes, unused royalties, current paid advertising service not yet received, and operating supplies. Prepaid expenses are not current assets in the sense that they will be converted into cash but in the sense that, if not paid in advance, they would require the use of current assets during the operating cycle. An asset representing the overfunded status of a singleemployer defined benefit postretirement plan shall be classified pursuant to FASB Statement No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans.
- 5. The ordinary operations of a business involve a circulation of capital within the current asset group. Cash is expended for materials, finished parts, operating supplies, labor, and other factory services, and such expenditures are accumulated as inventory cost. Inventory costs, upon sale of the products to which such costs attach, are converted into trade receivables and ultimately into cash again. The average time intervening between the acquisition of materials or services entering this process and the final cash realization constitutes an *operating cycle*. A one-year time period is to be used as a basis for the segregation of current assets in cases where there are several operating cycles occurring within a year. However, where the period of the operating cycle is more than twelve months, as in, for instance, the tobacco, distillery, and lumber businesses, the longer period should be used. Where a particular business has no clearly defined operating cycle, the one-year rule should govern.
- 6. This concept of the nature of current assets contemplates the exclusion from that classification of such resources as: (a) cash and claims to cash which are restricted as to withdrawal or use for other than current operations, are designated for expenditure in the acquisition or construction of noncurrent assets, or are segregated <sup>i</sup>1 for the liquidation of long-term debts; (b) investments in securities (whether marketable or not) or advances which have been made for the purposes of control, affiliation, or other continuing

business advantage; (c) receivables arising from unusual transactions (such as the sale of capital assets, or loans or advances to affiliates, officers, or employees) which are not expected to be collected within twelve months; (d) cash surrender value of life insurance policies; (e) land and other natural resources; (f) depreciable assets; and (g) long-term prepayments which are fairly chargeable to the operations of several years, or deferred charges such as bonus payments under a long-term lease, costs of rearrangement of factory layout or removal to a new location.

- 7. The term *current liabilities* is used principally to designate obligations whose liquidation is reasonably expected to require the use of existing resources properly classifiable as current assets, or the creation of other current liabilities. As a balance-sheet category, the classification is intended to include obligations for items which have entered into the operating cycle, such as payables incurred in the acquisition of materials and supplies to be used in the production of goods or in providing services to be offered for sale; collections received in advance of the delivery of goods or performance of services <sup>ii</sup>2; and debts which arise from operations directly related to the operating cycle, such as accruals for wages, salaries, commissions, rentals, royalties, and income and other taxes. Other liabilities whose regular and ordinary liquidation is expected to occur within a relatively short period of time, usually twelve months, are also intended for inclusion, such as short-term debts arising from the acquisition of capital assets, serial maturities of long-term obligations, amounts required to be expended within one year under sinking fund provisions, and agency obligations arising from the collection or acceptance of cash or other assets for the account of third persons. iii 3 The current liability classification is also intended to include obligations that, by their terms, are due on demand or will be due on demand within one year (or operating cycle, if longer) from the balance sheet date, even though liquidation may not be expected within that period. It is also intended to include long-term obligations that are or will be callable by the creditor either because the debtor's violation of a provision of the debt agreement at the balance sheet date makes the obligation callable or because the violation, if not cured within a specified grace period, will make the obligation callable. Accordingly, such callable obligations shall be classified as current liabilities unless one of the following conditions is met:
- a. The creditor has waived <sup>iv</sup>**3a** or subsequently lost <sup>v</sup>**3b** the right to demand repayment for more than one year (or operating cycle, if longer) from the balance sheet date.
- b. For long-term obligations containing a grace period within which the debtor may cure the violation, it is probable <sup>vi</sup>3c that the violation will be cured within that period, thus preventing the obligation from becoming callable.

If an obligation under (b) above is classified as a long-term liability (or, in the case of an unclassified balance sheet, is included as a long-term liability in the disclosure of debt maturities), the circumstances shall be disclosed. Short-term obligations that are expected to be refinanced on a long-term basis, including those callable obligations discussed herein, shall be classified in accordance with FASB Statement No. 6, *Classification of Short-Term Obligations Expected to Be Refinanced*. A liability representing the underfunded status of a single-employer defined benefit postretirement plan shall be classified pursuant to Statement 158.

8. This concept of current liabilities would include estimated or accrued amounts which are expected to be required to cover expenditures within the year for known obligations (a) the amount of which can be determined only approximately (as in the case of provisions for accruing bonus payments) or (b) where the specific person or persons to whom payment will be made cannot as yet be designated (as in the case of estimated costs to be incurred in connection with guaranteed servicing or repair of products already sold). The current liability classification, however, is not intended to include debts to be liquidated by funds which have been accumulated in accounts of a type not properly classified as current assets, or long-term obligations incurred to provide increased amounts of working capital for long periods. When the amounts of the periodic payments of an obligation are, by contract, measured by current transactions, as for example by rents or revenues received in the case of equipment trust certificates or by the depletion of natural resources in the case of property obligations, the portion of the total obligation to be included as a current liability should be that representing the amount accrued at the balance-sheet date.

\_\_\_\_

- 9. The amounts at which various current assets are carried do not always represent their present realizable cash values. Accounts receivable net of allowances for uncollectible accounts, and for unearned discounts where unearned discounts are considered, are effectively stated at the amount of cash estimated as realizable. However, practice varies with respect to the carrying basis for current assets such as inventories. The basis for carrying inventories is stated in chapter 4. It is important that the amounts at which current assets are stated be supplemented by information which reveals, for the various classifications of inventory items, the basis upon which their amounts are stated and, where practicable, indication of the method of determining the cost e.g., average cost, first-in first-out, last-in first-out, etc.
- 10. Unearned discounts (other than cash or quantity discounts and the like), finance charges, and interest included in the face amount of receivables should be shown as a deduction from the related receivables.

One member of the committee, Mr. Mason, assented with qualification to adoption of section A of chapter 3.

Mr. Mason does not accept the view implied in paragraph 6 that unamortized debt discount is an asset. Also, referring to paragraph 9, he believes that the market value is the most significant figure in connection with marketable securities held as temporary investments of cash, and would prefer to show such securities in the accounts at their market value, whether greater or less than cost. He would accept as an alternative the use of cost in the accounts with market value shown parenthetically in the balance sheet.

## Section B —Offsetting Securities Against Taxes Payable

- 1. It is a general principle of accounting that the offsetting of assets and liabilities in the balance sheet is improper except where a right of setoff exists. Accordingly, the offset of cash or other assets against the tax liability or other amounts owing to governmental bodies is not acceptable except in the circumstances described in paragraph 3 below.
- 2. Most securities now issued by governments are not by their terms designed specifically for the payment of taxes and, accordingly, should not be deducted from taxes payable on the balance sheet.
- 3. The only exception to this general principle occurs when it is clear that a purchase of securities (acceptable for the payment of taxes) is in substance an advance payment of taxes that will be payable in the relatively near future, so that in the special circumstances the purchase is tantamount to the prepayment of taxes. This occurs at times, for example, as an accommodation to a local government and in some instances when governments issue securities that are specifically designated as being acceptable for the payment of taxes of those governments.
- 4-6. [These paragraphs have been deleted. See Status page.]

## Chapter 4: INVENTORY PRICING

- 1. Whenever the operation of a business includes the ownership of a stock of goods, it is necessary for adequate financial accounting purposes that inventories be properly compiled periodically and recorded in the accounts. Vii 1 Such inventories are required both for the statement of financial position and for the periodic measurement of income.
- 2. This chapter sets forth the general principles applicable to the pricing of inventories of mercantile and manufacturing enterprises. Its conclusions are not directed to or necessarily applicable to noncommercial businesses or to regulated utilities.

## Statement 1

The term *inventory* is used herein to designate the aggregate of those items of tangible personal property which (1) are held for sale in the ordinary course of business, (2) are in process of production for such sale, or (3) are to be currently consumed in the production of goods or services to be available for sale.

## **Discussion**

3. The term *inventory* embraces goods awaiting sale (the merchandise of a trading concern and the finished goods of a manufacturer), goods in the course of production (work in process), and goods to be consumed directly or indirectly in production (raw materials and supplies). This definition of inventories excludes long-term assets subject to depreciation accounting, or goods which, when put into use, will be so classified. The fact that a depreciable asset is retired from regular use and held for sale does not indicate that the item should be classified as part of the inventory. Raw materials and supplies purchased for production may be used or consumed for the construction of long-term assets or other purposes not related to production, but the fact that inventory items representing a small portion of the total may not be absorbed ultimately in the production process does not require separate classification. By trade practice, operating materials and supplies of certain types of companies such as oil producers are usually treated as inventory.

#### Statement 2

A major objective of accounting for inventories is the proper determination of income through the process of matching appropriate costs against revenues.

## **Discussion**

4. An inventory has financial significance because revenues may be obtained from its sale, or from the sale of the goods or services in whose production it is used. Normally such revenues arise in a continuous repetitive process or cycle of operations by which goods are acquired and sold, and further goods are acquired for additional sales. In accounting for the goods in the inventory at any point of time, the major objective is the matching of appropriate costs against revenues in order that there may be a proper determination of the realized income. Thus, the inventory at any given date is the balance of costs applicable to goods on hand remaining after the matching of absorbed costs with concurrent revenues. This balance is appropriately carried to future periods provided it does not exceed an amount properly chargeable against the revenues expected to be obtained from ultimate disposition of the goods carried forward. In practice, this balance is determined by the process of pricing the articles comprised in the inventory.

#### Statement 3

The primary basis of accounting for inventories is cost, which has been defined generally as the price paid or consideration given to acquire an asset. As applied to inventories, cost means in principle the sum of the applicable expenditures and charges directly or indirectly incurred in bringing an article to its existing condition and location.

## Discussion

5. Inventories are presumed to be stated at cost. The definition of cost as applied to inventories is understood to mean acquisition and production cost, viii 2 and its determination involves many considerations. Although principles for the determination of inventory costs may be easily stated, their application, particularly to such inventory items as work in process and finished goods, is difficult because of the variety of considerations in the allocation of costs and charges. For example, variable production overheads are allocated to each unit of production on the basis of the actual use of the production facilities. However, the allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion is based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. Normal capacity refers to a range of production levels. Normal capacity is the production expected to be achieved over a number of periods or seasons under normal circumstances, taking into account the loss of capacity resulting from planned maintenance. Some variation

in production levels from period to period is expected and establishes the range of normal capacity. The range of normal capacity will vary based on business- and industry-specific factors. Judgment is required to determine when a production level is abnormally low (that is, outside the range of expected variation in production). Examples of factors that might be anticipated to cause an abnormally low production level include significantly reduced demand, labor and materials shortages, and unplanned facility or equipment downtime. The actual level of production may be used if it approximates normal capacity. In periods of abnormally high production, the amount of fixed overhead allocated to each unit of production is decreased so that inventories are not measured above cost. The amount of fixed overhead allocated to each unit of production is not increased as a consequence of abnormally low production or idle plant.

5A. Unallocated overheads are recognized as an expense in the period in which they are incurred. Other items such as abnormal freight, handling costs, and amounts of wasted materials (spoilage) require treatment as current period charges rather than as a portion of the inventory cost. Also, under most circumstances, general and administrative expenses <sup>ix</sup>2a should be included as period charges, except for the portion of such expenses that may be clearly related to production and thus constitute a part of inventory costs (product charges). Selling expenses constitute no part of inventory costs. The exclusion of all overheads from inventory costs does not constitute an accepted accounting procedure. The exercise of judgment in an individual situation involves a consideration of the adequacy of the procedures of the cost accounting system in use, the soundness of the principles thereof, and their consistent application.

#### Statement 4

Cost for inventory purposes may be determined under any one of several assumptions as to the flow of cost factors (such as first-in first-out, average, and last-in first-out); the major objective in selecting a method should be to choose the one which, under the circumstances, most clearly reflects periodic income.

## **Discussion**

- 6. The cost to be matched against revenue from a sale may not be the identified cost of the specific item which is sold, especially in cases in which similar goods are purchased at different times and at different prices. While in some lines of business specific lots are clearly identified from the time of purchase through the time of sale and are costed on this basis, ordinarily the identity of goods is lost between the time of acquisition and the time of sale. In any event, if the materials purchased in various lots are identical and interchangeable, the use of identified cost of the various lots may not produce the most useful financial statements. This fact has resulted in the development of general acceptance of several assumptions with respect to the flow of cost factors (such as *first-in first-out*, *average*, and *last-in first-out*) to provide practical bases for the measurement of periodic income. \*3 In some situations a reversed mark-up procedure of inventory pricing, such as the retail inventory method, may be both practical and appropriate. The business operations in some cases may be such as to make it desirable to apply one of the acceptable methods of determining cost to one portion of the inventory or components thereof and another of the acceptable methods to other portions of the inventory.
- 7. Although selection of the method should be made on the basis of the individual circumstances, it is obvious that financial statements will be more useful if uniform methods of inventory pricing are adopted by all companies within a given industry.

#### Statement 5

A departure from the cost basis of pricing the inventory is required when the utility of the goods is no longer as great as its cost. Where there is evidence that the utility of goods, in their disposal in the ordinary course of business, will be less than cost, whether due to physical deterioration, obsolescence, changes in price levels, or other causes, the difference should be recognized as a loss of the current period. This is generally accomplished by stating such goods at a lower level commonly designated as *market*.

## **Discussion**

8. Although the cost basis ordinarily achieves the objective of a proper matching of costs and revenues, under certain circumstances cost may not be the amount properly chargeable against the revenues of future periods. A departure from cost is required in these circumstances because cost is satisfactory only if the utility of the goods has not diminished since their acquisition; a loss of utility is to be reflected as a charge against the revenues of the period in which it occurs. Thus, in accounting for inventories, a loss should be recognized whenever the utility of goods is impaired by damage, deterioration, obsolescence, changes in price levels, or other causes. The measurement of such losses is accomplished by applying the rule of pricing inventories at *cost or market*, *whichever is lower*. (If inventory has been the hedged item in a fair value hedge, the inventory's "cost" basis used in the cost-or-market-whichever-is-lower accounting shall reflect the effect of the adjustments of its carrying amount made pursuant to paragraph 22(b) of FASB Statement No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*.) This provides a practical means of measuring utility and thereby determining the amount of the loss to be recognized and accounted for in the current period.

## Statement 6

As used in the phrase *lower of cost or market*, <sup>xi</sup>**4** the term *market* means current replacement cost (by purchase or by reproduction, as the case may be) except that:

- (1) Market should not exceed the net realizable value (i.e., estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business less reasonably predictable costs of completion and disposal); and
- (2) Market should not be less than net realizable value reduced by an allowance for an approximately normal profit margin.

## **Discussion**

- 9. The rule of *cost or market, whichever is lower* is intended to provide a means of measuring the residual usefulness of an inventory expenditure. The term market is therefore to be interpreted as indicating utility on the inventory date and may be thought of in terms of the equivalent expenditure which would have to be made in the ordinary course at that date to procure corresponding utility. As a general guide, utility is indicated primarily by the current cost of replacement of the goods as they would be obtained by purchase or reproduction. In applying the rule, however, judgment must always be exercised and no loss should be recognized unless the evidence indicates clearly that a loss has been sustained. There are therefore exceptions to such a standard. Replacement or reproduction prices would not be appropriate as a measure of utility when the estimated sales value, reduced by the costs of completion and disposal, is lower, in which case the realizable value so determined more appropriately measures utility. Furthermore, where the evidence indicates that cost will be recovered with an approximately normal profit upon sale in the ordinary course of business, no loss should be recognized even though replacement or reproduction costs are lower. This might be true, for example, in the case of production under firm sales contracts at fixed prices, or when a reasonable volume of future orders is assured at stable selling prices.
- 10. Because of the many variations of circumstances encountered in inventory pricing, Statement 6 is intended as a guide rather than a literal rule. It should be applied realistically in the light of the objectives expressed in this chapter and with due regard to the form, content, and composition of the inventory. The committee considers, for example, that the retail inventory method, if adequate markdowns are currently taken, accomplishes the objectives described herein. It also recognizes that, if a business is expected to lose money for a sustained period, the inventory should not be written down to offset a loss inherent in the subsequent operations.

## Statement 7

Depending on the character and composition of the inventory, the rule of *cost or market*, *whichever is lower* may properly be applied either directly to each item or to the total of the inventory (or, in some cases, to the total of the components of each major category). The method should be that which most clearly reflects periodic income.

## Discussion

- 11. The purpose of reducing inventory to *market* is to reflect fairly the income of the period. The most common practice is to apply the *lower of cost or market* rule separately to each item of the inventory. However, if there is only one end-product category the cost utility of the total stock—the inventory in its entirety—may have the greatest significance for accounting purposes. Accordingly, the reduction of individual items to *market* may not always lead to the most useful result if the utility of the total inventory to the business is not below its cost. This might be the case if selling prices are not affected by temporary or small fluctuations in current costs of purchase or manufacture. Similarly, where more than one major product or operational category exists, the application of the *cost or market*, *whichever is lower* rule to the total of the items included in such major categories may result in the most useful determination of income.
- 12. When no loss of income is expected to take place as a result of a reduction of cost prices of certain goods because others forming components of the same general categories of finished products have a market equally in excess of cost, such components need not be adjusted to market to the extent that they are in balanced quantities. Thus, in such cases, the rule of *cost or market*, *whichever is lower* may be applied directly to the totals of the entire inventory, rather than to the individual inventory items, if they enter into the same category of finished product and if they are in balanced quantities, provided the procedure is applied consistently from year to year.
- 13. To the extent, however, that the stocks of particular materials or components are excessive in relation to others, the more widely recognized procedure of applying the *lower of cost or market* to the individual items constituting the excess should be followed. This would also apply in cases in which the items enter into the production of unrelated products or products having a material variation in the rate of turnover. Unless an effective method of classifying categories is practicable, the rule should be applied to each item in the inventory.
- 14. When substantial and unusual losses result from the application of this rule it will frequently be desirable to disclose the amount of the loss in the income statement as a charge separately identified from the consumed inventory costs described as *cost of goods sold*.

## Statement 8

The basis of stating inventories must be consistently applied and should be disclosed in the financial statements; whenever a significant change is made therein, there should be disclosure of the nature of the change and, if material, the effect on income.

## **Discussion**

15. While the basis of stating inventories does not affect the over-all gain or loss on the ultimate disposition of inventory items, any inconsistency in the selection or employment of a basis may improperly affect the periodic amounts of income or loss. Because of the common use and importance of periodic statements, a procedure adopted for the treatment of inventory items should be consistently applied in order that the results reported may be fairly allocated as between years. A change of such basis may have an important effect upon the interpretation of the financial statements both before and after that change, and hence, in the event of a change, a full disclosure of its nature and of its effect, if material, upon income should be made.

## Statement 9

Only in exceptional cases may inventories properly be stated above cost. For example, precious metals having a fixed monetary value with no substantial cost of marketing may be stated at such monetary value; any other exceptions must be justifiable by inability to determine appropriate approximate costs, immediate marketability at quoted market price, and the characteristic of unit interchangeability. Where goods are stated above cost this fact should be fully disclosed.

## **Discussion**

16. It is generally recognized that income accrues only at the time of sale, and that gains may not be anticipated by reflecting assets at their current sales prices. For certain articles, however, exceptions are

permissible. Inventories of gold and silver, when there is an effective government- controlled market at a fixed monetary value, are ordinarily reflected at selling prices. A similar treatment is not uncommon for inventories representing agricultural, mineral, and other products, units of which are interchangeable and have an immediate marketability at quoted prices and for which appropriate costs may be difficult to obtain. Where such inventories are stated at sales prices, they should of course be reduced by expenditures to be incurred in disposal, and the use of such basis should be fully disclosed in the financial statements.

#### Statement 10

Accrued net losses on firm purchase commitments for goods for inventory, measured in the same way as are inventory losses, should, if material, be recognized in the accounts and the amounts thereof separately disclosed in the income statement.

## **Discussion**

17. The recognition in a current period of losses arising from the decline in the utility of cost expenditures is equally applicable to similar losses which are expected to arise from firm, uncancelable, and unhedged commitments for the future purchase of inventory items. The net loss on such commitments should be measured in the same way as are inventory losses and, if material, should be recognized in the accounts and separately disclosed in the income statement. The utility of such commitments is not impaired, and hence there is no loss, when the amounts to be realized from the disposition of the future inventory items are adequately protected by firm sales contracts or when there are other circumstances which reasonably assure continuing sales without price decline.

One member of the committee, Mr. Wellington, assented with qualification, and two members, Messrs. Mason and Peloubet, dissented to adoption of chapter 4.

Mr. Wellington objects to footnote (2) to statement 3. He believes that an exception should be made for goods costed on the *last-in first-out* (LIFO) basis. In the case of goods costed on all bases other than LIFO the reduced amount (market below cost) is cleared from the accounts through the regular accounting entries of the subsequent period, and if the market price rises to or above the original cost there will be an increased profit in the subsequent period. Accounts kept under the LIFO method should also show a similar increased profit in the subsequent period, which will be shown if the LIFO inventory is restored to its original cost. To do otherwise, as required by footnote (2), is to carry the LIFO inventory, not at the lower of cost or current market, but at the lowest market ever known since the LIFO method was adopted by the company.

Mr. Mason dissents from this chapter because of its acceptance of the inconsistencies inherent in *cost or market whichever is lower*. In his opinion a drop in selling price below cost is no more of a realized loss than a rise above cost is a realized gain under a consistent criterion of realization.

Mr. Peloubet believes it is ordinarily preferable to carry inventory at not less than recoverable cost, and particularly in the case of manufactured or partially manufactured goods which can be sold only in finished form. He recognizes that application of the *cost or market* valuation basis necessitates the shifting of income from one period to another, but objects to unnecessarily accentuating this shift by the use, even limited as it is in this chapter, of reproduction or replacement cost as market when such cost is less than net selling price.

## Chapter 5: Intangible Assets

[Chapter 5 has been deleted. See Status page.]

Chapter 6: Contingency Reserves

[Chapter 6 has been deleted. See Status page.]

Chapter 7: CAPITAL ACCOUNTS

## Section A — Quasi-Reorganization or Corporate Readjustment (Amplification of Institute Rule No. 2 of 1934)

1. A rule was adopted by the Institute in 1934 which read as follows:

"Capital surplus, however created, should not be used to relieve the income account of the current or future years of charges which would otherwise fall to be made thereagainst. This rule might be subject to the exception that where, upon reorganization, a reorganized company would be relieved of charges which would require to be made against income if the existing corporation were continued, it might be regarded as permissible to accomplish the same result without reorganization provided the facts were as fully revealed to and the action as formally approved by the shareholders as in reorganization." <sup>xii</sup> 1

2. Readjustments of the kind mentioned in the exception to the rule fall in the category of what are called quasi-reorganizations. This section does not deal with the general question of quasi-reorganizations, but only with cases in which the exception permitted under the rule of 1934 is availed of by a corporation. Hereinafter such cases are referred to as readjustments. The problems which arise fall into two groups: (a) what may be permitted in a readjustment and (b) what may be permitted thereafter.

## **Procedure in Readjustment**

- 3. If a corporation elects to restate its assets, capital stock, and surplus through a readjustment and thus avail itself of permission to relieve its future income account or earned surplus account of charges which would otherwise be made thereagainst, it should make a clear report to its shareholders of the restatements proposed to be made, and obtain their formal consent. It should present a fair balance sheet as at the date of the readjustment, in which the adjustment of carrying amounts is reasonably complete, in order that there may be no continuation of the circumstances which justify charges to capital surplus.
- 4. A write-down of assets below amounts which are likely to be realized thereafter, though it may result in conservatism in the balance sheet at the readjustment date, may also result in overstatement of earnings or of earned surplus when the assets are subsequently realized. Therefore, in general, assets should be carried forward as of the date of readjustment at fair and not unduly conservative amounts, determined with due regard for the accounting to be employed by the company thereafter. If the fair value of any asset is not readily determinable a conservative estimate may be made, but in that case the amount should be described as an estimate and any material difference arising through realization or otherwise and not attributable to events occurring or circumstances arising after that date should not be carried to income or earned surplus.
- 5. Similarly, if potential losses or charges are known to have arisen prior to the date of readjustment but the amounts thereof are then indeterminate, provision may properly be made to cover the maximum *probable* losses or charges. If the amounts provided are subsequently found to have been excessive or insufficient, the difference should not be carried to earned surplus nor used to offset losses or gains originating after the readjustment, but should be carried to capital surplus.
- 6. When the amounts to be written off in a readjustment have been determined, they should be charged first against earned surplus to the full extent of such surplus; any balance may then be charged against capital surplus. A company which has subsidiaries should apply this rule in such a way that no consolidated earned surplus survives a readjustment in which any part of losses has been charged to capital surplus.
- 7. If the earned surplus of any subsidiaries cannot be applied against the losses before resort is had to capital surplus, the parent company's interest in such earned surplus should be regarded as capitalized by the readjustment just as surplus at the date of acquisition is capitalized, so far as the parent is concerned.
- 8. The effective date of the readjustment, from which the income of the company is thereafter determined, should be as near as practicable to the date on which formal consent of the stockholders is given, and should ordinarily not be prior to the close of the last completed fiscal year.

## **Procedure after Readjustment**

- 9. When the readjustment has been completed, the company's accounting should be substantially similar to that appropriate for a new company.
- 10. After such a readjustment earned surplus previously accumulated cannot properly be carried forward under that title. A new earned surplus account should be established, dated to show that it runs from the effective date of the readjustment, and this dating should be disclosed in financial statements until such time as the effective date is no longer deemed to possess any special significance. The dating of earned surplus following a quasi reorganization would rarely, if ever, be of significance after a period of 10 years. There may be exceptional circumstances in which the discontinuance of the dating of earned surplus could be justified at the conclusion of a period less than 10 years.
- 11. Capital surplus originating in such a readjustment is restricted in the same manner as that of a new corporation; charges against it should be only those which may properly be made against the initial surplus of a new corporation.
- 12. It is recognized that charges against capital surplus may take place in other types of readjustments to which the foregoing provisions would have no application. Such cases would include readjustments for the purpose of correcting erroneous credits made to capital surplus in the past. In this statement the committee has dealt only with that type of readjustment in which either the current income or earned surplus account or the income account of future years is relieved of charges which would otherwise be made thereagainst.

## Section B — Stock Dividends and Stock Split-ups

- 1. The term *stock dividend* as used in this section refers to an issuance by a corporation of its own common shares to its common shareholders without consideration and under conditions indicating that such action is prompted mainly by a desire to give the recipient shareholders some ostensibly separate evidence of a part of their respective interests in accumulated corporate earnings without distribution of cash or other property which the board of directors deems necessary or desirable to retain in the business.
- 2. The term *stock split-up* as used in this chapter refers to an issuance by a corporation of its own common shares to its common shareholders without consideration and under conditions indicating that such action is prompted mainly by a desire to increase the number of outstanding shares for the purpose of effecting a reduction in their unit market price and, thereby, of obtaining wider distribution and improved marketability of the shares.
- 3. This chapter is not concerned with the accounting for a distribution or issuance to shareholders of (a) shares of another corporation theretofore held as an investment, or (b) shares of a different class, or (c) rights to subscribe for additional shares or (d) shares of the same class in cases where each shareholder is given an election to receive cash or shares.
- 4. The discussion of accounting for stock dividends and split-ups that follows is divided into two parts. The first deals with the problems of the recipient. The second deals with the problems of the issuer.

## As to the Recipient

- 5. One of the basic problems of accounting is that of income determination. Complete discussion of this problem is obviously beyond the scope of this chapter. Basically, income is a realized gain and in accounting is recognized, recorded, and stated in accordance with certain principles as to time and amount.
- 6. If there is an increase in the market value of his holdings, such unrealized appreciation is not income. In the case of a stock dividend or split-up, there is no distribution, division, or severance of corporate assets. Moreover, there is nothing resulting therefrom that the shareholder can realize without parting with some of his proportionate interest in the corporation.
- 7. The foregoing are important points to be considered in any discussion of the accounting procedures to be followed by the recipient of a stock dividend or split-up since many arguments put

forward by those who favor recognizing stock dividends as income are in substance arguments for the recognition of corporate income as income to the shareholder as it accrues to the corporation, and prior to its distribution to the shareholder; the acceptance of such arguments would require the abandonment of the *separate entity* concept of corporation accounting.

- 8. The question as to whether or not stock dividends are income has been extensively debated; the arguments pro and con are well known. <sup>xiii</sup> 1 The situation cannot be better summarized, however, than in the words approved by Mr. Justice Pitney in *Eisner v. Macomber*, 252 U.S. 189, wherein it was held that stock dividends are not income under the Sixteenth Amendment, as follows:
- "A stock dividend really takes nothing from the property of the corporation and adds nothing to the interests of the stockholders. Its property is not diminished and their interests are not increased ... the proportional interest of each shareholder remains the same. The only change is in the evidence which represents that interest, the new shares and the original shares together representing the same proportional interests that the original shares represented before the issue of the new ones."
- 9. Since a shareholder's interest in the corporation remains unchanged by a stock dividend or split-up except as to the number of share units constituting such interest, the cost of the shares previously held should be allocated equitably to the total shares held after receipt of the stock dividend or split-up. When any shares are later disposed of, a gain or loss should be determined on the basis of the adjusted cost per share.

## As to the Issuer

## Stock dividends

#### Stock dividends

- As has been previously stated, a stock dividend does not, in fact, give rise to any change 10. whatsoever in either the corporation's assets or its respective shareholders' proportionate interests therein. However, it cannot fail to be recognized that, merely as a consequence of the expressed purpose of the transaction and its characterization as a dividend in related notices to shareholders and the public at large, many recipients of stock dividends look upon them as distributions of corporate earnings and usually in an amount equivalent to the fair value of the additional shares received. Furthermore, it is to be presumed that such views of recipients are materially strengthened in those instances, which are by far the most numerous, where the issuances are so small in comparison with the shares previously outstanding that they do not have any apparent effect upon the share market price and, consequently, the market value of the shares previously held remains substantially unchanged. The committee therefore believes that where these circumstances exist the corporation should in the public interest account for the transaction by transferring from earned surplus to the category of permanent capitalization (represented by the capital stock and capital surplus accounts) an amount equal to the fair value of the additional shares issued. Unless this is done, the amount of earnings which the shareholder may believe to have been distributed to him will be left, except to the extent otherwise dictated by legal requirements, in earned surplus subject to possible further similar stock issuances or cash distributions.
- 11. Where the number of additional shares issued as a stock dividend is so great that it has, or may reasonably be expected to have, the effect of materially reducing the share market value, the committee believes that the implications and possible constructions discussed in the preceding paragraph are not likely to exist and that the transaction clearly partakes of the nature of a stock split-up as defined in paragraph 2. Consequently, the committee considers that under such circumstances there is no need to capitalize earned surplus, other than to the extent occasioned by legal requirements. It recommends, however, that in such instances every effort be made to avoid the use of the word *dividend* in related corporate resolutions, notices, and announcements and that, in those cases where because of legal requirements this cannot be done, the transaction be described, for example, as a *split-up effected in the form of a dividend*.
- 12. In cases of closely-held companies, it is to be presumed that the intimate knowledge of the corporations' affairs possessed by their shareholders would preclude any such implications and possible

constructions as are referred to in paragraph 10. In such cases, the committee believes that considerations of public policy do not arise and that there is no need to capitalize earned surplus other than to meet legal requirements.

- 13. Obviously, the point at which the relative size of the additional shares issued becomes large enough to materially influence the unit market price of the stock will vary with individual companies and under differing market conditions and, hence, no single percentage can be laid down as a standard for determining when capitalization of earned surplus in excess of legal requirements is called for and when it is not. However, on the basis of a review of market action in the case of shares of a number of companies having relatively recent stock distributions, it would appear that there would be few instances involving the issuance of additional shares of less than, say, 20% or 25% of the number previously outstanding where the effect would not be such as to call for the procedure referred to in paragraph 10.
- 14. The corporate accounting recommended in paragraph 10 will in many cases, probably the majority, result in the capitalization of earned surplus in an amount in excess of that called for by the laws of the state of incorporation; such laws generally require the capitalization only of the par value of the shares issued, or, in the case of shares without par value, an amount usually within the discretion of the board of directors. However, these legal requirements are, in effect, minimum requirements and do not prevent the capitalization of a larger amount per share.

## Stock Split-ups

- 15. Earlier in this chapter a stock split-up was defined as being confined to transactions involving the issuance of shares, without consideration moving to the corporation, for the purpose of effecting a reduction in the unit market price of shares of the class issued and, thus, of obtaining wider distribution and improved marketability of the shares. Where this is clearly the intent, no transfer from earned surplus to capital surplus or capital stock account is called for, other than to the extent occasioned by legal requirements. It is believed, however, that few cases will arise where the aforementioned purpose can be accomplished through an issuance of shares which is less than, say, 20% or 25% of the previously outstanding shares.
- 16. The committee believes that the corporation's representations to its shareholders as to the nature of the issuance is one of the principal considerations in determining whether it should be recorded as a stock dividend or a split-up. Nevertheless, it believes that the issuance of new shares in ratios of less than, say, 20% or 25% of the previously outstanding shares, or the frequent recurrence of issuances of shares, would destroy the presumption that transactions represented to be split-ups should be recorded as split-ups.

Three members of the committee, Messrs. Knight, Calkins, and Mason, assented with qualification, and one member, Mr. Wilcox, dissented to adoption of section B of chapter 7.

Mr. Knight assents with the qualification that he believes the section should recognize the propriety of treating as income stock dividends received by a parent from a subsidiary. He believes the section should have retained from the original Bulletin No. 11 the statement, "It is recognized that this rule, under which the stockholder has no income until there is a distribution, division, or severance, may require modification in some cases, or that there may be exceptions to it, as, for instance, in the case of a parent company with respect to its subsidiaries...."

Messrs. Calkins and Mason approve part one, but believe part two is inconsistent therewith in that the former concludes that a stock dividend is not income to the recipient while the latter suggests accounting procedures by the issuer based on the assumption that the shareholder may think otherwise. They believe it is inappropriate for the corporate entity to base its accounting on considerations of possible shareholder reactions. They also believe that part two deals with matters of corporate policy rather than accounting principles and that the purpose sought to be served could be more effectively accomplished by appropriate notices to shareholders at the time of the issuance of additional shares.

Mr. Wilcox dissents from the recommendations made both as to the recipient and as to the issuer. He believes that, with proper safeguards, stock dividends should be regarded as marking the point at which corporate income is to be recognized by shareholders, and denies that the arguments favoring this view are in substance arguments for the recognition of corporate income as income to the shareholder as it accrues to

the corporation. He believes that the arguments regarding severance and maintenance of proportionate interest are unsound, and cannot logically be invoked as they are in this section, since they are widely ignored with respect to distributions of securities other than common stock dividends. Mr. Wilcox believes the recommendations as to the issuer are inconsistent with the rest of the section, involve arbitrary distinctions, hamper or discourage desirable corporate actions, result in meaningless segregation in the proprietorship section of balance sheets, and serve no informative purpose which cannot be better served by explanatory disclosures. He therefore also dissents from the omission of requirements for information and disclosures which were contained in the original Bulletin No. 11 issued in September, 1941.

## Section C—Business Combinations

[Section C has been deleted. See Status page.]

## Chapter 8: INCOME AND EARNED SURPLUS

[Chapter 8 has been deleted. See Status page.]

## Chapter 9: DEPRECIATION

## Section A — Depreciation and High Costs

- 1. In December, 1947, the committee issued Accounting Research Bulletin No. 33, dealing with the subject of depreciation and high costs. In October, 1948, it published a letter to the membership reaffirming the opinion expressed in the bulletin.
- 2. The subject is one of continuing importance. The committee once more expresses its approval of the basic conclusions asserted in both publications, but in view of the many requests received for further consideration of various aspects of the problem has placed the subject on its agenda for further study.
- 3. Accounting Research Bulletin No. 33 read as follows:
- 4. "The American Institute of Accountants committee on accounting procedure has given extensive consideration to the problem of making adequate provision for the replacement of plant facilities in view of recent sharp increases in the price level. The problem requires consideration of charges against current income for depreciation of facilities acquired at lower price levels.
- 5. "The committee recognizes that business management has the responsibility of providing for replacement of plant and machinery. It also recognizes that, in reporting profits today, the cost of material and labor is reflected in terms of 'inflated' dollars while the cost of productive facilities in which capital was invested at a lower price level is reflected in terms of dollars whose purchasing power was much greater. There is no doubt that in considering depreciation in connection with product costs, prices, and business policies, management must take into consideration the probability that plant and machinery will have to be replaced at costs much greater than those of the facilities now in use.
- 6. "When there are gross discrepancies between the cost and current values of productive facilities, the committee believes that it is entirely proper for management to make annual appropriations of net income or surplus in contemplation of replacement of such facilities at higher price levels.
- 7. "It has been suggested in some quarters that the problem be met by increasing depreciation charges against current income. The committee does not believe that this is a satisfactory solution at this time. It believes that accounting and financial reporting for general use will best serve their purposes by adhering to the generally accepted concept of depreciation on cost, at least until the dollar is stabilized at some level. An attempt to recognize current prices in providing depreciation, to be consistent, would require the serious step of formally recording appraised current values for all properties, and continuous and consistent depreciation charges based on the new values. Without such formal steps, there would be no

objective standard by which to judge the propriety of the amounts of depreciation charges against current income, and the significance of recorded amounts of profit might be seriously impaired.

- 8. "It would not increase the usefulness of reported corporate income figures if some companies charged depreciation on appraised values while others adhered to cost. The committee believes, therefore, that consideration of radical changes in accepted accounting procedure should not be undertaken, at least until a stable price level would make it practicable for business as a whole to make the change at the same time.
- 9. "The committee disapproves immediate writedowns of plant cost by charges against current income in amounts believed to represent excessive or abnormal costs occasioned by current price levels. However, the committee calls attention to the fact that plants expected to have less than normal useful life can properly be depreciated on a systematic basis related to economic usefulness."
- 10. The letter of October 14, 1948, was addressed to the members of the Institute and read as follows:
- 11. "The committee on accounting procedure has reached the conclusion that no basic change in the accounting treatment of depreciation of plant and equipment is practicable or desirable under present conditions to meet the problem created by the decline in the purchasing power of the dollar.
- 12. "The committee has given intensive study to this problem and has examined and discussed various suggestions which have been made to meet it. It has solicited and considered hundreds of opinions on this subject expressed by businessmen, bankers, economists, labor leaders, and others. While there are differences of opinion, the prevailing sentiment in these groups is against any basic change in present accounting procedures. The committee believes that such a change would confuse readers of financial statements and nullify many of the gains that have been made toward clearer presentation of corporate finances.
- 13. "Should inflation proceed so far that original dollar costs lose their practical significance, it might become necessary to restate all assets in terms of the depreciated currency, as has been done in some countries. But it does not seem to the committee that such action should be recommended now if financial statements are to have maximum usefulness to the greatest number of users.
- 14. "The committee, therefore, reaffirms the opinion it expressed in Accounting Research Bulletin No. 33, December, 1947.
- 15. "Any basic change in the accounting treatment of depreciation should await further study of the nature and concept of business income.
- 16. "The immediate problem can and should be met by financial management. The committee recognizes that the common forms of financial statements may permit misunderstanding as to the amount which a corporation has available for distribution in the form of dividends, higher wages, or lower prices for the company's products. When prices have risen appreciably since original investments in plant and facilities were made, a substantial proportion of net income as currently reported must be reinvested in the business in order to maintain assets at the same level of productivity at the end of a year as at the beginning.
- 17. "Stockholders, employees, and the general public should be informed that a business must be able to retain out of profits amounts sufficient to replace productive facilities at current prices if it is to stay in business. The committee therefore gives its full support to the use of supplementary financial schedules, explanations or footnotes by which management may explain the need for retention of earnings."

Six members of the committee, Messrs. Andrews, Peloubet, Peoples, Smith, Wellington, and Williams, dissented to adoption of section A of chapter 9.

The six dissenting members object to the reprinting, in this section, of Bulletin No. 33 of December, 1947, and the reaffirming letter of October 14, 1948. That bulletin was issued to check the extension of certain then-emerging practices and it was successful in that purpose. However, Bulletin No.

33 contains assertions which are not now appropriate and should be eliminated, notably:

a. "An attempt to recognize current prices in providing depreciation . . . would require the serious step of formally recording appraised current values . . . and consistent depreciation charges based on the new values" (par. 7 of this section).

Those dissenting believe this is not the only method which may be followed—a conclusion also reached by the Study Group on Business Income (see page 61 of its report). <sup>xiv</sup> 1

b. ". . . consideration of radical changes in accepted accounting procedure should not be undertaken, at least until a stable price level would make it practicable for business as a whole to make the change at the same time." (par. 8)

This statement virtually precludes changes in accounting practice in so far as the monetary unit is concerned and is inconsistent with the paragraphs on Accounting and the Corporate System in the introduction to this volume.

c. The warnings (in paragraphs 5, 6, 16 and 17) to management as to the use of profits.

Such warnings are irrelevant; it is no part of the accountant's function to tell management what it may or may not properly do with income after it has been determined.

Those dissenting believe that acceptable accounting practices should comprehend financial statements to stockholders, employees, and the public designed to reflect those concepts of cost and net income which are recommended in paragraph 5 to management in determining product costs, prices, and business policies. They question whether net income can properly be so designated if appropriations therefrom, as suggested in paragraph 6, are needed to preserve capital invested in plant.

They believe that plant may continue to be carried in the balance sheet at historical cost with deduction for depreciation based thereon. In addition to historical depreciation, a supplementary annual charge to income should be permitted with corresponding credit to an account for property replacements and substitutions, to be classified with the stockholders' equity. This supplementary charge should be in such amount as to make the total charge for depreciation express in current dollars the exhaustion of plant allocable to the period. The supplementary charge would be calculated by use of a generally accepted price index applied to the expenditures in the years when the plant was acquired. The last sentence of paragraph 7 would then be no longer valid; the usefulness of financial statements would be enhanced without sacrifice of presently existing comparability.

## Section B — Depreciation on Appreciation

1. The Board is of the opinion that property, plant, and equipment should not be written up by an entity to reflect appraisal, market, or current values which are above cost to the entity. This statement is not intended to change accounting practices followed in connection with quasi-reorganizations <sup>xv</sup>1 or reorganizations. This statement may not apply to foreign operations under unusual conditions such as serious inflation or currency devaluation. However, when the accounts of a company with foreign operations are translated into United States currency for consolidation, such write ups normally are eliminated. Whenever appreciation has been recorded on the books, income should be charged with depreciation computed on the written up amounts.

Mr. Davidson agrees with the statement that at the present time "property, plant, and equipment should not be written up" to reflect current costs, but only because he feels that current measurement techniques are inadequate for such restatement. When adequate measurement methods are developed, he believes that both the reporting of operations in the income statement and the valuation of plant in the balance sheet would be improved through the use of current rather than acquisition costs. In the meanwhile, strong efforts should be made to develop the techniques for measuring current costs.

## Section C — Emergency Facilities: Depreciation, Amortization and Income Taxes

## **Certificates of Necessity**

- 1. Section 124A of the Internal Revenue Code, which was added by the Revenue Act of 1950, provides for the issuance of certificates of necessity under which all or part of the cost of so-called *emergency facilities* may be amortized over a period of 60 months for income-tax purposes. In many cases, the amounts involved are material, and companies are faced with the problem of deciding whether to adopt the 60-month period over which the portions of the cost of the facilities covered by certificates of necessity may be amortized for income-tax purposes as the period over which they are to be depreciated in the accounts.
- 2. Thinking on this question apparently has become confused because many so-called *percentage certificates* have been issued covering less than the entire cost of the facility. This fact, together with the fact that the probable economic usefulness of the facility after the close of the five-year amortization period is considered by the certifying authority in determining the percentage covered by these certificates, has led many to believe that the percentage used represents the government's conclusion as to the proportion of the cost of the facility that is not expected to have usefulness at the end of five years.
- 3. In some cases, it is apparent that the probable lack of economic usefulness of the facility after the close of the amortization period must constitute the principal if not the sole basis for determining the percentage to be included in the certificate. However, it must be recognized that the certifying authority has acted under orders to give consideration also to a variety of other factors to the end that the amount certified may be the minimum amount necessary to secure expansion of industrial capacity in the interest of national defense during the emergency period. Among the factors required to be considered in the issuance of these certificates, in addition to loss of useful value, are (a) character of business, (b) extent of risk assumed (including the amount and source of capital employed, and the potentiality of recovering capital or retiring debt through tax savings or pricing), (c) assistance to small business and promotion of competition, (d) compliance with government policies (e.g., dispersal for security), and (e) other types of incentives provided by government, such as direct government loans, guaranties, and contractual arrangements.

## **Depreciation Considerations**

- 4. The argument has been advanced from time to time that, since the portion of the cost of properties covered by certificates of necessity is amortized over a five-year period for income-tax purposes, it is necessary to follow the same procedure in the accounts. Sound financial accounting procedures do not necessarily coincide with the rules as to what shall be included in "gross income," or allowed as a deduction therefrom, in arriving at taxable net income. It is well recognized that such rules should not be followed for financial accounting purposes if they do not conform to generally accepted accounting principles. However, where the results obtained from following income-tax procedures do not materially differ from those obtained where generally accepted accounting principles are followed, there are practical advantages in keeping the accounts in agreement with the income-tax returns.
- 5. The cost of a productive facility is one of the costs of the services it renders during its useful economic life. Generally accepted accounting principles require that this cost be spread over the expected useful life of the facility in such a way as to allocate it as equitably as possible to the periods during which services are obtained from the use of the facility. This procedure is known as depreciation accounting, a system of accounting which aims to distribute the cost or other basic value of tangible capital assets, less salvage (if any), over the estimated useful life of the unit (which may be a group of assets) in a systematic and rational manner. It is a process of allocation, not of valuation. The declining-balance method is one that meets the requirements of being systematic and rational. <sup>xvi</sup> 1 If the expected productivity or revenue-earning power of the asset is relatively greater during the earlier years of its life, or where maintenance charges tend to increase during later years, the declining-balance method may provide the most satisfactory allocation of cost. That conclusion also applies to other methods, including the sum-of-the-years'-digits method, that produce substantially similar results.
- 6. The committee is of the opinion that from an accounting standpoint there is nothing inherent in the nature of emergency facilities which requires the depreciation or amortization of their cost for financial accounting purposes over either a shorter or a longer period than would be proper if no certificate of

necessity had been issued. Estimates of the probable useful life of a facility by those best informed in the matter may indicate either a shorter or a longer life than the statutory 60-month period over which the certified portion of its cost is deductible for income-tax purposes.

- 7. In determining the proper amount of annual depreciation with respect to emergency facilities for financial accounting purposes, it must be recognized that a great many of these facilities are being acquired primarily for what they can produce during the emergency period. To whatever extent it is reasonable to expect the useful economic life of a facility to end with the close of the amortization period the cost of the facility is a proper cost of operation during that period.
- 8. In determining the prospective usefulness of such facilities it will be necessary to consider their adaptability to post-emergency use, the effect of their use upon economic utilization of other facilities, the possibility of excessive costs due to expedited construction or emergency conditions, and the fact that no deductions for depreciation of the certified portion will be allowable for income-tax purposes in the post-amortization years if the company elects to claim the amortization deduction. The purposes for which emergency facilities are acquired in a great many cases are such as to leave major uncertainties as to the extent of their use during the amortization period and as to their subsequent usefulness—uncertainties which are not normally encountered in the acquisition and use of operating facilities.
- 9. Consideration of these factors, the committee believes, will in many cases result in the determination of depreciation charges during the amortization period in excess of the depreciation that would be appropriate if these factors were not involved. Frequently they will be so compelling as to indicate the need for recording depreciation of the cost of emergency facilities in the accounts in conformity with the amortization deductions allowable for income-tax purposes. However, the committee believes that when the amount allowed as amortization for income-tax purposes is materially different from the amount of the estimated depreciation, the latter should be used for financial accounting purposes.
- 10. In some cases, certificates of necessity cover facilities which the owner expects to use after the emergency period in lieu of older facilities. As a result the older facilities may become unproductive and obsolete before they are fully depreciated on the basis of their previously expected life. In such situations, the committee believes depreciation charges to income should be determined in relation to the total properties, to the end that sound depreciation accounting may be applied to the property accounts as a whole.

## **Recognition of Income Tax Effects**

- 11. Refer to FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes.
- 12-13. [These paragraphs have been deleted. See Status page.]

## Chapter 10: TAXES

## Section A — Real and Personal Property Taxes

1. The purpose of this section is to draw attention to the problems involved in accounting for real and personal property taxes and to present some of the considerations which enter into a determination of their accounting treatment.

## Legal Liability for Property Taxes and Treatment for Income-Tax Purposes

2. Unlike excise, income, and social security taxes, which are directly related to particular business events, real and personal property taxes are based upon the assessed valuation of property (tangible and intangible) as of a given date, as determined by the laws of a state or other taxing authority. For this reason the legal liability for such taxes is generally considered as accruing at the moment of occurrence of some specific event, rather than over a period of time. Whether such legal accrual should determine the accounting treatment is a question to be discussed later. Tax laws, opinions of attorneys, income-tax regulations, and court decisions have mentioned various dates on which certain property taxes are said to

accrue legally. Among them are the following:

- a. Assessment date,
- b. Beginning of taxing authority's fiscal year,
- c. End of taxing authority's fiscal year,
- d. Date on which tax becomes a lien on the property,
- e. Date tax is levied,
- f. Date or dates tax is payable,
- g. Date tax becomes delinquent,
- h. Tax period appearing on tax bill.
- 3. Most of the foregoing dates are mentioned in tax laws. In a given case several of these dates may coincide.
- 4. The date to be applied in a particular case necessarily requires reference to the law and court decisions of the state concerned. Where the matter has been litigated, it has often been held that property taxes become a liability at the point of time when they become a lien. The general rule, however, is that such taxes accrue as of the date on which they are assessed. The position of the Bureau of Internal Revenue is that generally property taxes accrue on the assessment date, even if the amount of the tax is not determined until later.
- 5. A practical aspect of the legal liability for property taxes must be considered when title to property is transferred during the taxable year. As stated above, the assessment date generally determines accrual. But as between vendor and vendee, the Supreme Court <sup>xvii</sup>1 has laid down the rule that the lien date, or the date of personal obligation, controls and that where a transfer occurs after either of those dates, the purchaser is not entitled to deduct the taxes for income-tax purposes.
- 6. Adjustments on account of property taxes paid or accrued are frequently incorporated in agreements covering the sale of real estate, which determine the question for the individual case as between the buyer and seller, though they are not necessarily controlling for income-tax purposes.
- 7. Although pro-rata accrual of property taxes has been permitted by some courts, the generally accepted rule seems to be that such taxes accrue in a lump sum on one date and not ratably over the year.

## **Accounting for Property Taxes**

## Accrual accounting

- 8. Accounting questions arise as to (1) when the liability for real and personal property taxes should be recorded on the books of a taxpayer keeping his accounts on the accrual basis and (2) the amounts to be charged against the income of respective periods. Here again, the decision is influenced by the particular circumstances of each tax. Such terms as assessment date and levy date vary in meaning in the different jurisdictions; and while there is sufficient agreement about assessment date to furnish a basis for the general legal rule already mentioned, it does not necessarily follow that the legal rule should determine the accounting treatment.
- 9. Determination of the liability for the tax often proceeds by degrees, the several steps being taken at appreciable intervals of time. For example, while it is known that the owner of real property is liable, with respect to each tax period, for a tax on property owned on the assessment date, the amount of the tax may not be fixed until much later. There is sometimes reluctance toward recording liabilities of indeterminate amount, especially such items as property taxes, and a preference for recording them when the amount can be computed with certainty. While this consideration is one which occasionally leads to the mention of taxes in footnotes as contingent liabilities, the inability to determine the exact amount of taxes is in itself no justification for failure to recognize an existing tax liability.
- 10. In practice, real and personal property taxes have been charged against the income of various periods, as indicated below:

- a. Year in which paid (cash basis),
- b. Year ending on assessment (or lien) date,
- c. Year beginning on assessment (or lien) date,
- d. Calendar or fiscal year of taxpayer prior to assessment (or lien) date,
- e. Calendar or fiscal year of taxpayer including assessment (or lien) date,
- f. Calendar or fiscal year of taxpayer prior to payment date,
- g. Fiscal year of governing body levying the tax,
- h. Year appearing on tax bill.
- 11. Some of these periods may coincide, as when the fiscal year of the taxing body and that of the taxpayer are the same. The charge to income is sometimes made in full at one time, sometimes ratably on a monthly basis, sometimes on the basis of prior estimates, adjusted during or after the period.
- 12. The various periods mentioned represent varying degrees of conservatism in accrual accounting. Some justification may be found for each usage, but all the circumstances relating to a particular tax must be considered before a satisfactory conclusion is reached.
- 13. Consistency of application from year to year is the important consideration and selection of any of the periods mentioned is a matter for individual judgment.

## Basis considered most acceptable

- 14. Generally, the most acceptable basis of providing for property taxes is monthly accrual on the taxpayer's books during the fiscal period of the taxing authority for which the taxes are levied. The books will then show, at any closing date, the appropriate accrual or prepayment.
- 15. It may be argued that the entire amount of tax should logically be accrued by the lien date. Advocates of this procedure vary from those who would accrue the tax by charges to income during the year ending on the lien date, to those who urge setting up the full tax liability on the lien date and charging the amount thereof to income during the subsequent year. However, the basis described in the preceding paragraph is held by the majority of accountants to be practical and satisfactory so long as it is consistently followed.

## **Treatment in Financial Statements**

## Balance sheet

16. An accrued liability for real and personal property taxes, whether estimated or definitely known, should be included among the current liabilities. Where estimates are subject to a substantial measure of uncertainty the liability should be described as estimated.

## **Income statement**

- 17. While it is sometimes proper to capitalize in property accounts the amount of real estate taxes applicable to property which is being developed for use or sale, these taxes are generally regarded as an expense of doing business. They may be (a) charged to operating expenses; (b) shown as a separate deduction from income; or (c) distributed among the several accounts to which they are deemed to apply, such as factory overhead, rent income, and selling or general expenses.
- 18. In condensed income statements appearing in published reports, the amounts of real and personal property taxes, however charged in the accounts, are rarely shown separately. They are frequently combined with other taxes but not with taxes on income.
- 19. Since the liability for property taxes must frequently be estimated at the balance-sheet date, it is often necessary to adjust the provision for taxes of a prior year when their amount has been ascertained. These adjustments should ordinarily be made through the income statement, either in combination with the current year's provision or as a separate item in the income statement.

One member of the committee, Mr. Wellington, assented with qualification to adoption of section

Mr. Wellington objects to the statement in paragraph 15 that the basis described in paragraph 14 is held by the majority of accountants to be practical and satisfactory so long as it is consistently followed. In his opinion, the most logical practice is to accrue the entire amount of tax at the lien date, with a corresponding charge to an account such as *taxes unexpired* which will then be reduced pro rata, as outlined in the latter part of the second sentence of paragraph 15.

## Section B — Income Taxes

[Section B has been deleted. See Status page.]

## Chapter 11: GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS

## Section A — Cost-Plus-Fixed-Fee Contracts

1. This section deals with accounting problems arising under cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts, hereinafter referred to as CPFF contracts.

## **Summary Statement**

- 2. Fees under CPFF contracts may be credited to income on the basis of such measurement of partial performance as will reflect reasonably assured realization. One generally acceptable basis is delivery of completed articles. The fees may also be accrued as they are billable, under the terms of the agreements, unless such accrual is not reasonably related to the proportionate performance of the total work or services to be performed by the contractor from inception to completion.
- 3. Where CPFF contracts involve the manufacture and delivery of products, the reimbursable costs and fees are ordinarily included in appropriate sales or other revenue accounts. Where such contracts involve only services, or services and the supplemental erection of facilities, only the fees should ordinarily be included in revenues.
- 4. Unbilled costs and fees under such contracts are ordinarily receivables rather than advances or inventory, but should preferably be shown separately from billed accounts receivable.
- 5. Offsetting of government advances on CPFF contracts by, or against, amounts due from the government on such contracts is acceptable only to the extent that the advances may under the terms of the agreement be offset in settlement, and only if that is the treatment anticipated in the normal course of business transactions under the contract. In case of offset, the amounts offset should be adequately disclosed.

## **Discussion**

- 6. Contracts in the CPFF form are used (a) for the manufacture and delivery of various products, (b) for the construction of plants and other facilities, and (c) for management and other services. Under these agreements contractors are reimbursed at intervals for their expenditures and in addition are paid a specified fixed fee. Payments on account of the fees (less 10% or other amount which is withheld until completion) are made from time to time as specified in the agreements, usually subject to the approval of the contracting officer. In most cases the amount of each payment is, as a practical matter, determined by the ratio of expenditures made to the total estimated expenditures rather than on the basis of deliveries or on the percentage of completion otherwise determined.
- 7. The agreements provide that title to all material applicable thereto vests in the government as soon as the contractor is reimbursed for his expenditures or, in some cases, immediately upon its receipt by the contractor at his plant even though not yet paid for. The contractor has a custodianship responsibility for these materials, but the government usually has property accountability officers at the plant to safeguard government interests.

- 8. The contracts are subject to cancellation and termination by the government, in which event the contractor is entitled to reimbursement for all expenditures made and an equitable portion of the fixed fee.
- 9. The government frequently makes advances of cash as a revolving fund or against the final payment due under the agreement.

## Major accounting problems

- 10. There are a number of basic accounting problems common to all CPFF contracts. This section deals with the four most important, which are:
- a. When should fees under such contracts be included in the contractor's income statement?
- b. What amounts are to be included in sales or revenue accounts?
- c. What is the proper balance-sheet classification of unbilled costs and fees?
- d. What is the proper balance-sheet treatment of various items, debit and credit, identified with CPFF contracts?
- a. When should fees under such contracts be included in the contractor's income statement?
- 11. It is recognized that income should be recorded and stated in accordance with certain accounting principles as to time and amount; that profit is deemed to be realized when a sale in the ordinary course of business is effected unless the circumstances are such that collection of the sales price is not reasonably assured; and that delivery of goods sold under contract is normally regarded as the test of realization of profit or loss.
- 12. In the case of manufacturing, construction, or service contracts, profits are not ordinarily recognized until the right to full payment has become unconditional, i.e., when the product has been delivered and accepted, when the facilities are completed and accepted, or when the services have been fully and satisfactorily rendered. This accounting procedure has stood the test of experience and should not be departed from except for cogent reasons.
- 13. It is, however, a generally accepted accounting procedure to accrue revenues under certain types of contracts and thereby recognize profits, on the basis of partial performance, where the circumstances are such that total profit can be estimated with reasonable accuracy and ultimate realization is reasonably assured. Particularly where the performance of a contract requires a substantial period of time from inception to completion, there is ample precedent for pro-rata recognition of profit as the work progresses, if the total profit and the ratio of the performance to date to the complete performance can be computed reasonably and collection is reasonably assured. Depending upon the circumstances, such partial performance may be established by deliveries, expenditures, or percentage of completion otherwise determined. This rule is frequently applied to long-term construction and other similar contracts; it is also applied in the case of contracts involving deliveries in instalments or the performance of services. However, the rule should be dealt with cautiously and not applied in the case of partial deliveries and uncompleted contracts where the information available does not clearly indicate that a partial profit has been realized after making provision for possible losses and contingencies.
- 14. CPFF contracts are much like the type of contracts upon which profit has heretofore been recognized on partial performance, and accordingly have at least as much justification for accrual of fee before final delivery as those cited. The risk of loss is practically negligible, the total profit is fairly definite, and even on cancellation, pro-rata profit is still reasonably assured.
- 15. The basic problem in dealing with CPFF contracts is the measure of partial performance, i.e., whether fees thereunder should be accrued under the established rules as to partial deliveries or percentage of completion otherwise determined, or whether, in view of their peculiar terms with respect to part payments, the determination of amounts billable by continuous government audit, and the minimum of risk carried by the contractor, the fees should be accrued as they are billable.
- 16. Ordinarily it is acceptable to accrue the fees as they become billable. The outstanding characteristic of CPFF contracts is reimbursement for all allowable costs, plus payment of a fixed fee for

the contractor's efforts. Delivery of the finished product may not have its usual legal significance because title passes to the government prior thereto and the contractor's right to partial payment becomes unconditional in advance thereof; deliveries are not necessarily, under the terms of the agreement, evidence of the progress of the work or of the contractor's performance. Amounts billable indicate reasonably assured realization, possibly subject to renegotiation, because of the absence of a credit problem and minimum risk of loss involved. The fee appears to be earned when allowable costs are incurred or paid and the fee is billable. Finally, accrual on the basis of amounts billable is ordinarily not a departure from existing rules of accrual on the basis of partial performance, but rather a distinctive application of the rule for determining percentage of completion.

- 17. Judgment must be exercised in each case as to whether accrual of the fee when billable is preferable to accrual on the usual basis of delivery or of percentage of completion otherwise determined. While the approval of the government as to amounts billable would ordinarily be regarded as objective evidence, factors may exist which suggest an earlier or later accrual. Such factors include indications of substantial difference between estimated and final cost, as where preparatory or tooling-up costs were much more than estimated, raw material needs were greatly and unduly anticipated by advance purchases, or delays in delivery schedules or other circumstances suggest that costs are exceeding estimates. While such factors are normally considered by the government and billings for fees may be temporarily adjusted to safeguard against too early proportionate payment, the contractor, in accruing income, should also consider them, particularly when any substantial lag exists between expenditures and billings and audit thereof. In such cases, the presumption may be that the fee will not be found to be billable when the charges are presented, and conservatism in accrual will be necessary. Excess costs may be indicated in some cases to such an extent that accrual of fee before actual production would be unwise. Where such a situation exists the usual rule of deliveries or percentage of completion may be a preferable method of accruing the fee.
- 18. There are further questions as to whether the fee may be accrued as it is billed rather than as it becomes billable and whether accrual should be on the basis of the full fee or the full fee less the amount withheld. As to the first question, it seems obvious that when accrual in relation to expenditures is otherwise suitable it should be on the basis of amounts billable, since such matters as clerical delays in assembling data for billing should not affect the income statement. As to the second question, accrual on the basis of 100% of the fee is ordinarily preferable since, while payment of the balance depends on complete performance, such completion is to be expected under ordinary circumstances. Care must be exercised, of course, to provide for possible non-realization where there is doubt as to the collection of claimed costs or of the fee thereon.
- b. What amounts are to be included in sales or revenue accounts?
- 19. This problem is whether sales or revenue as reported in the income statement should include reimbursable costs and the fee, or the fee alone. The answer to this question depends upon the terms of the contract and upon judgment as to which method gives the more useful information.
- 20. Some CPFF contracts are service contracts under which the contractor acts solely in an agency capacity, whether in the erection of facilities or the management of operations. These appear to call for inclusion in the income statement of the fee alone. In the case of supply contracts, however, the contractor is more than an agent. For instance, he is responsible to creditors for materials and services purchased; he is responsible to employees for salaries and wages; he ordinarily uses his own facilities in carrying out his agreement; his position in many respects is that of an ordinary principal. In view of these facts, and the desirability of indicating the volume of his activities, it appears desirable to include reimbursable costs, as well as fees, in sales or revenues.
- c. What is the proper balance-sheet classification of unbilled costs and fee?
- 21. The principal reason for the existence of unbilled costs at any date is the time usually required, after receipt of material or expenditures for labor, etc., to assemble data for billing. The right to bill usually exists upon expenditure or accrual, and that right unquestionably represents a receivable rather than an advance or inventory. There is nevertheless a difference in character between billed items and unbilled costs and distinction should be made between them on the balance sheet.

- d. What is the proper balance-sheet treatment of various items, debit and credit, identified with CPFF contracts?
- 22. In statements of current assets and current liabilities, amounts due to and from the same person are ordinarily offset where, under the law, they may be offset in the process of collection or payment. An advance received on a contract is, however, usually not offset unless it is definitely regarded as a payment on account of contract work in progress, in which event it will be shown as a deduction from the related asset. An advance on a CPFF contract usually is made for the purpose of providing a revolving fund and is not ordinarily applied as a partial payment until the contract is completed or nears completion. It therefore appears to be preferable to offset advances on CPFF contracts against receivables in connection with the contracts only when it is expected that the advances will be applied in payment of those particular charges. In any case, amounts offset should be clearly disclosed.

## Section B — Renegotiation

- 1. This section <sup>xviii</sup>1 deals with certain aspects of the accounting for those government contracts and subcontracts which are subject to renegotiation.
- 2. Where such contracts constitute a substantial part of the business done, the uncertainties resulting from the possibilities of renegotiation are usually such that appropriate indication of their existence should be given in the financial statements.
- 3. It is impossible to lay down general rules which can be applied satisfactorily in all cases. Here, as elsewhere in accounting, there must be an exercise of judgment which should be based on experience and on a clear understanding of the objective to be attained. That objective is to present the fairest possible financial statements, and at the same time make clear any uncertainties that limit the significance of such statements.
- 4. In keeping with the established accounting principle that provision should be made in financial statements for all liabilities, including reasonable estimates for liabilities not accurately determinable, provision should be made for probable renegotiation refunds wherever the amount of such refunds can be reasonably estimated. Thus, in cases where experience of the company or of comparable companies with renegotiation determinations is available and would make a reasonable estimate practicable, provision in the income account for an estimated refund affecting the current year's operations is called for. In cases in which a reasonable estimate cannot be made, as where the effect of a new or amended renegotiation act cannot be foretold within reasonable limits or where a company is facing renegotiation for the first time and no reliable precedent is available, disclosure of the inability, because of these circumstances, to determine renegotiation effects and of the consequent uncertainties in the financial statements is necessary.
- 5. In addition to any provision made in the accounts, disclosure by footnote or otherwise may be required as to the uncertainties, their significance, and the basis used in determining the amount of the provision, such as the prior years' experience of the contractor or of similar contractors if their experience is available and is used, renegotiation discussions relating to the current year, etc. Such disclosure may be helpful in informing shareholders or other interested persons as to the company's status under the renegotiation law. It should also be recognized that, if conditions change, the results of a prior-year determination or settlement are not, in most cases, indicative of the amount probably refundable for the current year.

#### **Treatment in Financial Statements**

- 6. Provisions made for renegotiation refunds should be included in the balance sheet among the current liabilities.
- 7. Accounting treatment in the income statement should conform to the concept that profit is deemed to be realized when a sale in the ordinary course of business is effected, unless the circumstances are such that collection of the sales price is not reasonably assured. xix 2 Renegotiation refunds are commonly referred to as involving a refund of "excessive profits"; realistically, however, renegotiation involves an adjustment of the original contract or selling price. Since a provision for renegotiation refund indicates that

the collection, or retention, of the selling price is not reasonably assured, the provision should preferably be treated in the income statement as a deduction from sales. Because of the interrelationship of renegotiation and taxes on income, the provision for such taxes should then be computed accordingly.

8. [This paragraph has been deleted. See Status page.]

## **Renegotiation Refunds for Prior Years**

9. A further question arises where a renegotiation refund applicable to a particular year is made in an amount materially different from the provision made in the financial statements originally issued for such year. The committee recommends that the difference between the renegotiation refund and the provision therefore be shown as a separate item in the current income statement.

#### Section C — Terminated War and Defense Contracts

- 1. This section deals with problems involved in accounting for fixed-price war and defense supply contracts terminated, in whole or in part, for the convenience of the government. It does not deal specifically with terminated cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts nor with contracts for facilities or services. However, the conclusions reached herein may serve as guides for the accounting applicable to such special contracts. Terminations for default of the contractor involve problems of a different nature and are not considered here.
- 2. Except where the text clearly indicates otherwise, the term *contractor* is used to denote either a prime contractor or a subcontractor, and the term *contract* to denote either a prime contract or a subcontract.

## **Summary Statement**

- 3. The profit of a contractor on a fixed-price supply contract terminated for the convenience of the government accrues as of the effective date of termination.
- 4. Those parts of the termination claim which are reasonably determinable should be included in financial statements after termination; when the total of the undeterminable elements is believed to be material, full disclosure of the essential facts should be made, by footnote or otherwise.
- 5. Under ordinary circumstances the termination claim should be classified as a current asset and unless the amount is relatively small should be separately disclosed.
- 6. Advances received on the contract before its termination may be shown in financial statements after termination as a deduction from the claim receivable and should be appropriately explained. Loans negotiated on the security of the termination claim, however, should be shown as current liabilities.
- 7. All of the contractor's own cost and profit elements included in the termination claim are preferably accounted for as a sale and if material in amount should be separately disclosed. The costs and expenses chargeable to the claim may then be given their usual classification in the accounts.
- 8. When inventory items whose costs are included in the termination claim are subsequently reacquired by the contractor the reacquisition value of those items should be recorded as a purchase and applied, together with other disposal credits, against the termination claim receivable.
- 9. So called *no-cost* settlements—those in which the contractor waives the right to make a claim—result in no transaction which could be reflected in sales. The costs applicable to the contract may be given their usual classification in the accounts; the inventory retained should not be treated as a purchase but should be accounted for according to the usual methods and standards applicable to inventories.

<sup>3-4 [</sup>These footnotes have been deleted. See Status page.]

## **Discussion**

10. Termination of war and defense contracts for the convenience of the government is a means of adjusting the production of materials to the varying requirements of the military services. Since terminations transfer active contracts in process of execution into claims in process of liquidation, they, like contract renegotiations and cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts, may have important effects on the financial statements of defense contractors.

## When profit accrues

- 11. An important problem involved in accounting for the effect of terminations is that of determining the time at which profit earned on the contract should be recognized. This problem is similar to that described in other sections of this chapter on renegotiation and cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts in that it involves accrual at a specific date of an element of profit whose original measurement may be difficult and will require informed judgment, and whose final amount may not be determined until some future period.
- 12. Three dates have been mentioned as dates for the determination of profit from terminated contracts: (a) the effective date of termination; (b) the date of final settlement; and (c) some intermediate date, such as that on which the claim is finally prepared or filed. The effective date of termination is the date at which the contractor acquires the right to receive payment on the terminated portion of the contract. This date is also, of the three, the one most objectively determined.
- 13. Under the accrual basis of accounting recognition is given to revenues and expenses, to the fullest extent possible, in the period to which they relate. Profit on a contract of sale is ordinarily taken into account upon delivery or performance. However, as stated in section A of this chapter it is a generally accepted accounting procedure to accrue revenues under certain types of contracts, and thereby recognize profits, on the basis of partial performance where the circumstances are such that total profit can be estimated with reasonable accuracy and ultimate realization is reasonably assured. Thus, the accrual of profit under a cost-plus-fixed-fee contract is recognized as the fee becomes billable rather than when it is actually billed. Upon termination of a contract the contractor acquires a claim for fair compensation; the government reserves the option of acquiring any of the inventories for which the contractor makes claim under the terminated contract. Except to effect settlements and to protect and dispose of property, the expenses of which are reimbursable, the contractor need perform no further service under a terminated contract in order to enforce his claim. It follows that any profit arising out of such a contract accrues at the effective date of termination and, if the amount can be reasonably ascertained, should be recorded at that time.

## Determination of claim

- 14. Practical application of the accrual principle to the accounting for terminated war and defense contracts rests upon the possibility of making a reasonable estimate of the amount of the termination claim before its final determination by settlement. This involves two principal considerations: (1) whether the costs of the contractor can be determined with reasonable accuracy and (2) whether the amount of profit to be realized can be estimated closely enough to justify inclusion in the accounts.
- 15. The various acts and regulations, including a statement of principles for determining costs and certain termination cost memorandums, describe in general terms the costs and expenses which are to be taken into account in arriving at fair compensation, as well as certain costs which are not allowable, and establish uniform termination policies and procedures.
- 16. While the total claim, and particularly the profit allowance, is subject to negotiation, the termination articles provide for a formula settlement allowing definite percentages of profit based on costs in the event of the failure of negotiations. This in effect fixes a minimum expectation of profit allowance since the formula percentages have also been recognized by regulation as a basis of negotiating settlement in the event of failure by the parties to agree on any other basis. The same regulations give other guides for estimating a fair profit allowance, which in some cases may be greater than the amount computed by the formula percentages. When the contractor, because of lack of prior negotiation experience or uncertainty as to the application of the principles of these regulations to a particular case, is unable to determine a more

appropriate profit allowance, he may accrue the minimum amount determined by the formula percentages.

- 17. The profit to be included in the accounts of the contractor upon termination is the difference between (a) the amount of his recorded claim and (b) the total of the inventory, deferred and capitalized items, and other costs applicable to the terminated contract as they are currently included in his accounts. This profit may exceed the amount specified as profit in the claim because costs applicable to the terminated portion of the contract may be allowable in the claim even though they may have been properly written off as incurred in prior periods.
- 18. In some cases it will be impossible to make a reasonable estimate of a termination claim in time for inclusion in the financial statements of the period in which the termination occurs. Effect may then be given in the statements to those parts of the termination claim which are determinable with reasonable certainty and disclosure made, by footnote or otherwise, of the status of the remainder.
- 19. When the contractor's claim includes items of known controversial nature it should be stated at the amount estimated to be collectible. When a particular termination claim or part thereof is so uncertain in amount that it cannot be reasonably estimated, it is preferable not to give effect to that part of the claim in the financial statements; but if the total of such undeterminable elements is material, the circumstances should be disclosed in statements issued before the removal of the uncertainty. In an extreme case involving undeterminable claims, consideration should be given to delaying the issuance of financial statements until necessary data are available.

#### Presentation in financial statements

- 20. Termination has the effect of converting an active contract in process into a claim, or, from an accounting standpoint, from inventories and other charges into an account receivable. This receivable arises in the regular course of business; it is part of the working capital; and in view of the provisions made for financial assistance to the contractor during the period of termination, collection in large part may be expected within a relatively short time. The termination claim should therefore be classified as a current asset, unless there is an indication of extended delay, such as serious disagreement pointing to probable litigation, which would exclude it from this classification.
- 21. Although a claim may be composed of several elements representing reimbursable items of special equipment, deferred charges, inventories, and other items, as well as claims for profit, it is preferable to record the claim in one account. When the total of termination claims is material it should be disclosed separately from other receivables. It is also desirable to segregate claims directly against the government from claims against other contractors where the amounts are significant.
- 22. To assure adequate financial assistance to contractors, the acts provide in some cases for partial payments and in others for such payments or guaranteed loans from the effective date of termination until final settlement. Partial payments are, of course, to be recorded as reductions of the termination claim receivable. Termination loans, on the other hand, are definite liabilities to third parties, even though guaranteed in whole or in part by the government, and accordingly should be shown in the balance sheet as liabilities, with appropriate cross-reference to the related claim or claims. When a terminated contract is one on which advance payments had previously been received, the financial statements of the contractor issued before final collection of the claim ordinarily should reflect any balance of those advances disclosed as deductions from the claim receivable. <sup>xx</sup> 1 Financial statements issued before the termination claim is recorded should disclose, by footnote or otherwise, the relationship of such liabilities to a possible termination claim receivable.
- 23. Ordinarily, a termination will result in the cessation of a contractor's activity through which materials or services have been supplied under the contract and of the related transactions which have been reflected in the contractor's income accounts as sales and cost elements. In effect, termination policies and procedures provide a basis upon which the contractor's costs in process may become the elements of a final sale under the terminated portion of the contract. Accordingly, the amount of the contractor's termination claim representing his cost and profit elements should be treated as a sale and the costs and expenses chargeable to the claim given their usual classification in the income statement. Because these termination

sales are of a special type, their financial results should not be appraised in the same manner as are those of regular sales and they should, if material in amount, be separately disclosed in the income statement. Any items which the contractor chooses to retain without claim for cost or loss are, of course, not sold but remain as inventory or deferred charges in the contractor's accounts.

## Claims of subcontractors

- 24. The term *subcontractor's claims* as used in connection with terminated contracts refers to those obligations of a contractor to a subcontractor which arise from the subcontractor's costs incurred through transactions which were related to the contract terminated but did not result in the transfer of billable materials or services to the contractor before termination. Other obligations of a contractor to a subcontractor, arising through transactions by which materials or services of the subcontractor are furnished or supplied to the contractor, are considered to be liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business and are not included in the term *claims of subcontractors*.
- 25. The termination articles provide that, following the termination of a contract, the contractor shall settle, with the approval or ratification of the contracting officer when necessary, all claims of subcontractors arising out of the termination; and that the contractor shall be paid, as part of his settlement, the cost of settling and paying claims arising out of the stoppage of work under subcontracts affected by the termination. While a contractor ordinarily is liable to his subcontractors or suppliers for such obligations, the amounts due them are an element in his termination claim and often are not paid to them until after his claim has been settled. He often has no control over the filing of subcontractors' claims and may not know their amount until some time after the termination date or even until some time after he has filed and received payment for his own claim.
- 26. The possibility that a contractor may suffer loss through failure to recover the amount of his liability on subcontractors' claims arises principally from overcommitments, errors in ordering, and similar causes. Provision should be made in his accounts for losses of this character which are known or believed to be probable.
- 27. Although the principle that liabilities may not be offset against assets in the financial statements is generally approved by accountants, there is no general agreement as to the accounting treatment to be accorded subcontractors' claims which are expected to be fully recoverable. To the extent that a subcontractor's claim is considered to be unrecoverable no difference of opinion exists; the liability should be recorded and provision made for any contemplated loss. The difference of opinion relates to those subcontractors' claims which are deemed to be fully recoverable.
- 28. Some accountants believe that the effect of the various acts and regulations is to establish a relationship between the claims of subcontractors and the resulting right of the contractor under his own termination claim which differs from an ordinary commercial relationship and justifies their omission from the accounts. Recoverable subcontractors' claims are thus said to be in the nature of contingent liabilities, which are customarily omitted from the accounts except where a loss is expected. Contingent liabilities may be disclosed in the financial statements without recording them as assets and liabilities, and even when they are recorded it is customary accounting practice to show them on the balance sheet as deductions from the related contingent assets so that no effect upon financial ratios and relationships results.
- 29. Other accountants believe that the nature of an obligation to a subcontractor is that of an ordinary liability, even though it may arise through the termination of a war or defense contract, and that the contractor's termination claim receivable, although related to the subcontractor's claim, is to be accounted for independently as an asset. This group believes that all subcontractors' claims, to the extent that they are reasonably ascertainable, should be recorded in the accounts and displayed in the contractor's balance sheet as current liabilities, and that the amounts recoverable by the contractor should be included in his termination claim receivable. To the extent that the amounts of subcontractors' claims are not reasonably determinable, disclosure by footnote or otherwise in the financial statements is believed to be adequate.
- 30. Because of the merits and prevalence of these alternative views, the committee expresses no preference for either treatment and considers either to be acceptable.

## Disposal credits

Disposal credits are amounts deducted from the contractor's termination claim receivable by reason of his retention, or sale to outsiders, of some or all of the termination inventory for which claim was made. In the case of items retained, either as scrap or for use by the contractor, the amount of the credit is determined by agreement between the contractor and a representative of the government. The sale of inventory items by the contractor is likewise subject to approval by the government, except as permitted by regulation. Since the amount of the contractor's termination claim, as already indicated, is properly recorded as a sale, any elements included in that claim for items of inventory retained by the contractor are, in effect, reacquired by him and should be treated as purchases at the agreed value. Amounts received for items sold to others with the approval of the government are collections for the account of the government and should be applied in reduction of the claim receivable. Obviously inventories or other items that are retained by the contractor after termination without claim for loss should not be included as an element of the termination claim.

## No-cost settlements

32. A contractor whose contract is terminated may prefer to retain the termination inventory for use in other production or for disposal at his own risk. For these or other reasons the contractor may prefer to make no claim against the government or a higher-tier contractor. In the case of such no-cost settlements there is no sale of inventory or other items to the government and therefore no occasion to accrue any profit arising out of the termination. The costs otherwise applicable to the contract should be given their usual treatment in the accounts. Items of inventory or other property retained, having been previously recorded, will, of course, require no charge to purchases but should be treated in accordance with the usual procedures applicable to such assets.

## Chapter 12: FOREIGN OPERATIONS AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE

- 1. The recommendations made in this chapter apply to United States companies which have branches or subsidiaries operating in foreign countries.
- 2. Since World War I foreign operations have been influenced to a marked degree by wars, departures from the gold standard, devaluations of currencies, currency restrictions, government regulations, etc.
- 3. Although comparatively few countries in recent years have had unrestricted currencies and exchanges, it is nevertheless true that many companies have been doing business in foreign countries having varying degrees of restrictions; in some cases they have been carrying on all operations regarded as normal, including the transmission of funds. In view of the difficulties mentioned above, however, the accounting treatment of assets, liabilities, losses, and gains involved in the conduct of foreign business and to be included or reflected in the financial statements of United States companies requires careful consideration.
- 4. A sound procedure for United States companies to follow is to show earnings from foreign operations in their own accounts only to the extent that funds have been received in the United States or unrestricted funds are available for transmission thereto. Appropriate provision should be made also for known losses.
- 5. Any foreign earnings reported beyond the amounts received in the United States should be carefully considered in the light of all the facts. The amounts should be disclosed if they are significant. FASB Statement No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, discusses the requirements for reporting revenues from foreign operations.
- 6. Statement 131 discusses the requirements for reporting assets located outside the United States.
- 7-22. [These paragraphs have been deleted. See Status page.]

Two members of the committee, Messrs, Lindquist and Mason, assented with qualification to adoption of chapter 12.

Mr. Lindquist believes that the accounting indicated in paragraph 11 for unrealized losses and gains arising from exchange fluctuations should be consistent for losses and gains to the extent that they result from normal temporary fluctuations in exchange rates.

Mr. Mason does not approve the inconsistent treatment of unrealized losses and unrealized gains from exchange fluctuations. He would prefer to defer them both. He also believes that long-term receivables and long-term liabilities should be translated at current rates.

## Chapter 13: COMPENSATION

## Section A — Pension Plans: Annuity Costs Based on Past Service

[Section A has been deleted. See Status page.]

## Section B — Compensation Involved in Stock Option and Stock Purchase Plans

- 1. The practice of granting to officers and other employees options to purchase or rights to subscribe for shares of a corporation's capital stock has been followed by a considerable number of corporations over a period of many years. To the extent that such options and rights involve a measurable amount of compensation, this cost of services received should be accounted for as such. The amount of compensation involved may be substantial and omission of such costs from the corporation's accounting may result in overstatement of net income to a significant degree. Accordingly, consideration is given herein to the accounting treatment of compensation represented by stock options or purchase rights granted to officers and other employees. \*\*xii1\*
- 2. For convenience, this section will discuss primarily the problems of compensation raised by stock option plans. However, the committee feels that substantially the same problems may be encountered in connection with stock purchase plans made available to employees, and the discussion below is applicable to such plans also. FASB Statement No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, specifies a fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation plans and encourages entities to adopt that method for all arrangements under which employees receive shares of stock or other equity instruments of the employer or the employer incurs liabilities to employees in amounts based on the price of the employer's stock. However, Statement 123 permits an employer in determining its net income to continue to apply the accounting provisions of this section and APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, to all its stock-based employee compensation arrangements. Entities that continue to apply this section and Opinion 25 shall comply with the disclosure requirements of Statement 123.

## **Rights Involving Compensation**

3. Stock options involving an element of compensation usually arise out of an offer or agreement by an employer corporation to issue shares of its capital stock to one or more officers or other employees (hereinafter referred to as grantees) at a stated price. The grantees are accorded the right to require issuance of the shares either at a specified time or during some determinable period. In some cases the grantee's options are exercisable only if at the time of exercise certain conditions exist, such as that the grantee is then or until a specified date has been an employee. In other cases, the grantees may have undertaken certain obligations, such as to remain in the employment of the corporation for at least a specified period, or to take the shares only for investment purposes and not for resale.

## **Rights Not Involving Compensation**

4. Stock option plans in many cases may be intended not primarily as a special form of compensation but rather as an important means of raising capital, or as an inducement to obtain greater or more widespread ownership of the corporation's stock among its officers and other employees. In general, the terms under which such options are granted, including any conditions as to exercise of the options or disposal of the stock acquired, are the most significant evidence ordinarily available as to the nature and purpose of a particular stock option or stock option plan. In practice, it is often apparent that a particular option or plan involves elements of two or more of the above purposes. Where the inducements are not larger per share than would reasonably be required in an offer of shares to all shareholders for the purpose of raising an equivalent amount of capital, no compensation need be presumed to be involved.

- 5. Stock purchase plans also are frequently an integral part of a corporation's program to secure equity capital or to obtain widespread ownership among employees, or both. In such cases, no element of compensation need be considered to be present if the purchase price is not lower than is reasonably required to interest employees generally or to secure the contemplated funds.
- 6-13. [These paragraphs have been deleted. See Status page.]
- 2-3 [These footnotes have been deleted. See Status page.]

## **Other Considerations**

- 14. Upon exercise of an option the sum of the cash received and the amount of the charge to income should be accounted for as the consideration received on issuance of the stock.
- 15. [This paragraph has been deleted. See Status page.]

One member of the committee, Mr. Mason, assented with qualification to adoption of section B of chapter 13. One member, Mr. Knight, did not vote.

Mr. Mason assents only under the assumption that if an option lapses after the grantee becomes entitled to exercise it, the related compensation shall be treated as a contribution by the grantee to the capital of the grantor.

## Chapter 14: DISCLOSURE OF LONG-TERM LEASES IN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF LESSEES

[Chapter 14 has been deleted. See Status page.]

## Chapter 15: UNAMORTIZED DISCOUNT, ISSUE COST, AND REDEMPTION PREMIUM ON BONDS REFUNDED

[Chapter 15 has been deleted. See Status page.]

# Appendix A: LIST OF ACCOUNTING RESEARCH BULLETINS WITH CROSS-REFERENCES

The following is a chronological list of Accounting Research Bulletins 1 through 42, which are now superseded. It indicates the chapter of the restatement containing each former bulletin, or portion thereof, as revised.

Restatement

			Chapter
No.	<b>Date Issued</b>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Number</u>
1	Sept., 1939	General Introduction and Rules Formerly Adopted	Introduction and Chap. 1
2	Sept., 1939	Unamortized Discount and Redemption Premium on	
		Bonds Refunded	15
3	Sept., 1939	Quasi-Reorganization or Corporate Readjustment—	
		Amplification of Institute Rule No. 2 of 1934	7A
4	Dec., 1939	Foreign Operations and Foreign Exchange	12
5	April, 1940	Depreciation on Appreciation	9B
6	April, 1940	Comparative Statements	2A
7	Nov., 1940	Reports of Committee on Terminology	xxii *
8	Feb., 1941	Combined Statement of Income and Earned Surplus	2B
9	May, 1941	Report of Committee on Terminology	xxiii *

10 11	June, 1941 Sept., 1941	Real and Personal Property Taxes Corporate Accounting for Ordinary Stock	10A 7B
12	Sept., 1941	Dividends Report of Committee on Terminology	xxiv *
13	Jan., 1942	Accounting for Special Reserves Arising out of the War	XXV **
14	Jan., 1942	Accounting for United States Treasury Tax Notes	3B
15 16	Sept., 1942 Oct., 1942	The Renegotiation of War Contracts Report of Committee on Terminology	11B xxvi*
17	Dec., 1942	Post-War Refund of Excess-Profits Tax	xxvii **
18	Dec., 1942	Unamortized Discount and Redemption Premium on	15
19	Dec., 1942	Bonds Refunded (Supplement) Accounting under Cost-Plus-Fixed Fee Contracts	15 11A
20	Nov., 1943	Report of Committee on Terminology	xxviii \star
21	Dec., 1943	Renegotiation of War Contracts (Supplement)	11B
22	May, 1944	Report of Committee on Terminology	xxix *
23	Dec., 1944	Accounting for Income Taxes	10B
24	Dec., 1944	Accounting for Intangible Assets	5
25	April, 1945	Accounting for Terminated War Contracts	11C
26	Oct., 1946	Accounting for the Use of Special War Reserves	XXX **
27	Nov., 1946	Emergency Facilities	9C
28	July, 1947	Accounting Treatment of General Purpose Contingency Reserves	6
29 30	July, 1947 Aug., 1947	Inventory Pricing Current Assets and Current Liabilities: Working	4
21	0 / 1047	Capital	3A
31	Oct., 1947	Inventory Reserves	6
32 33	Dec., 1947 Dec., 1947	Income and Earned Surplus Depreciation and High Costs	8 9A
34	Oct., 1948	Recommendation of Committee on Terminology—	
		Use of Term "Reserve"	xxxi <b>⋆</b>
35 36	Oct., 1948 Nov., 1948	Presentation of Income and Earned Surplus Pension Plans: Accounting for Annuity Costs Based	8
		on Past Services	13A
37	Nov., 1948	Accounting for Compensation in the Form of Stock	120
38	Oct., 1949	Options Disclosure of Long-Term Leases in Financial	13B
39	Oct., 1949	Statements of Lessees Recommendation of Subcommittee on Terminology—	14
		Discontinuance of the Use of the Term "Surplus"	xxxii *
40	Sept., 1950	Business Combinations	7C

41	July, 1951	Presentation of Income and Earned Surplus (Supplement to Bulletin No. 35)	8			
13	July, 1951	Limitation of Scope of Special War Reserves	xxxiii **			
(Adder	(Addendum)					
26	July, 1951	Limitation of Scope of Special War Reserves	xxxiv **			
(Addendum)						
42	Nov., 1952	Emergency Facilities: Depreciation, Amortization, and Income Taxes	9C			
11	Nov., 1952	Accounting for Stock Dividends and Stock Split-ups				
(Revise	ed)		7B			
37	Jan., 1953	Accounting for Compensation Involved in Stock				
(Revise	ed)	Option and Stock Purchase Plans	13B			

# Appendix B: CHANGES OF SUBSTANCE MADE IN THE COURSE OF RESTATING AND REVISING THE BULLETINS

1. Restatement and revision of the Accounting Research Bulletins involved numerous changes in wording, amounting in some cases to complete rewriting, but most of these changes were made in the interest of clarification, condensation, or elimination of material no longer pertinent. Changes in substance where necessary were made and are set forth below by chapters. Particular attention is called to the comments respecting the application of government securities against liabilities for federal taxes on income, write-offs of intangibles, and the treatment of refunds of income taxes based on the carry-back of losses and unused excess-profits credits.

## Applicability of Bulletins

- 2. In Bulletin No. 1 no general comment was made as to the applicability of the committee's pronouncements other than to state that they should not be regarded as applicable to investment trusts. That statement has been omitted. A new statement of applicability appears in the introduction, which indicates that, in general, the committee's opinions should be regarded as applicable primarily to business enterprises organized for profit. The statement reads as follows:
- 3. "The principal objective of the committee has been to narrow areas of difference and inconsistency in accounting practices, and to further the development and recognition of generally accepted accounting principles, through the issuance of opinions and recommendations that would serve as criteria for determining the suitability of accounting practices reflected in financial statements and representations of commercial and industrial companies. In this endeavor, the committee has considered the interpretation and application of such principles as appeared to it to be pertinent to particular accounting problems. The committee has not directed its attention to accounting problems or procedures of religious, charitable, scientific, educational, and similar non-profit institutions, municipalities, professional firms, and the like. Accordingly, except where there is a specific statement of a different intent by the committee, its opinions and recommendations are directed primarily to business enterprises organized for profit."

## Current Assets and Current Liabilities — Chapter 3, Section A

4. A comment has been included under current assets to the effect that the description of the basis of pricing inventories should include an indication of the method of determining the cost—e.g., *average cost*, *first-in first-out*, *last-in first-out*, *etc*.

## Application of United States Government Securities Against Liabilities for Federal Taxes on Income — Chapter 3, Section B

5. In Bulletin No.14 the committee expressed approval of the offsetting of United States Treasury Tax Notes, Tax Series A-1943 and B-1943, against liabilities for federal taxes on income in the balance sheet, provided that at the date of the balance sheet or of the independent auditor's report there was no evidence of an intent not to surrender the notes in payment of the taxes. Government securities having restrictive terms similar to those contained in the 1943 tax series are no longer issued but certain other types of government securities have since been issued which, by their terms, may be surrendered in payment of liabilities for federal taxes on income. In section B of chapter 3 the committee sanctions the offsetting of these securities against liabilities for federal taxes on income. It also expresses the opinion that extension of the practice to include the offset of other types of United States government securities, although a deviation from the general rule against offsets, is not so significant a deviation as to call for an exception in an accountant's report on the financial statements.

## Intangible Assets — Chapter 5

- 6. Bulletin No. 24, which was published in 1944, stated the committee's belief that the long accepted practice of eliminating type (b) intangibles (i.e., intangibles with no limited term of existence and as to which there is, at the time of acquisition, no indication of limited life) against any existing surplus, capital or earned, even though the value of the asset was unimpaired, should be discouraged, especially if proposed to be effected by charges to capital surplus.
- 7. In chapter 5 the committee expresses the opinion that lump-sum write-offs of type (b) intangibles should in no case be charged against capital surplus, should not be made against earned surplus immediately after acquisition, and, if not amortized systematically, should be carried at cost until an event has taken place which indicates a loss or a limitation on the useful life of the intangibles.

## Contingency Reserves — Chapter 6

8. In chapter 6 the opinion is expressed that the preferable balance-sheet treatment of general purpose contingency reserves (a subject not specifically covered in Bulletins Nos. 28 and 31) is to show them under stockholders' equity.

## Quasi-Reorganization or Corporate Readjustment — Chapter 7, Section A

9. Bulletin No. 3 stated that a readjustment of accounts through quasi-reorganization calls for the opening of a new earned surplus account dating from the effective date of the readjustment, but made no reference to the length of time such dating should continue. Section A of chapter 7 states that ". . . this dating should be disclosed in financial statements until such time as the effective date is no longer deemed to possess any special significance."

## **Business Combinations — Chapter 7, Section C**

10. The opinions expressed in Bulletin No. 40 have been amplified to indicate that any adjustment of assets or of surplus which would be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the absence of a combination would be equally acceptable if effected in connection with a pooling of interests.

## Income Taxes — Chapter 10, Section B

- 11. In connection with the presentation of allocated income taxes in the income statement, the committee recognizes the possibility of disclosure in a footnote or in the body of the income statement in special cases when the recommended presentation is not considered to be practicable. The revision also contains a statement that in some cases the use of a current over-all effective tax rate or, as in the case of deferred income, an estimated future tax rate may be appropriate in computing the tax effect attributable to a particular transaction.
- 12. In the old bulletin the committee recommended that where tax reductions result from the carry-forward of losses or unused excess-profits credits, the income statement indicate the results of operations

without inclusion of such reduction, which reduction should be shown as a final item before the amount of net income for the period, except that where there is substantial reason to believe that misleading inferences might be drawn from such inclusion the tax reduction might be credited to surplus. Section B of chapter 10 adds an alternative treatment whereby the amount of taxes estimated to be actually payable for the year may be shown in the income statement, with the amount of the tax reduction attributable to the amounts carried forward indicated either in a footnote or parenthetically in the body of the income statement.

13. The opinion was expressed in the previous bulletin that claims for refunds of income taxes based on the carry-back of losses or unused excess-profits credits should be credited to income, except that under certain circumstances they might be credited to surplus. Section B of chapter 10 expresses the opinion that they should be carried to income. This may be done either by indicating in the income statement for the year the results of operations before application of the claim for refund, which should then be shown as a final item before the amount of net income, or by charging income with the amount of taxes estimated to be actually payable for the year and showing the amount of the reduction attributable to the carry-back in a footnote or parenthetically in the body of the income statement.

## Renegotiation of Government Contracts — Chapter 11, Section B

14. The committee has modified the recommendations made in Bulletin No. 21 respecting the methods to be used in disclosing the renegotiation status and the provision or lack of provision for refund in relation to prior year settlements. It believes that individual judgment should determine which cases require disclosure of the basis of determining the amount provided. The committee has also indicated that the comments in section B of chapter 11 are applicable to price redetermination estimated to result in retroactive price reduction.

## Foreign Operations and Foreign Exchange — Chapter 12

- 15. In Bulletin No. 4 it was stated that a safe course to follow is to take earnings from foreign operations into the accounts of United States companies only to the extent that funds have been received in the United States. In chapter 12 these words are added: "or unrestricted funds are available for transmission thereto."
- 16. An exception is noted in chapter 12 to the general rule of translating long-term liabilities and capital stock stated in foreign currency at the rate of exchange prevailing when they were originally incurred or issued. The exception relates to long-term debt incurred or stock issued in connection with the acquisition of fixed assets, permanent investments, or long-term receivables a short time before a substantial and presumably permanent change in the exchange rate. The opinion is expressed that in such instances it may be appropriate to state the long-term debt or the capital stock at the new rate and proper to deal with the exchange differences as an adjustment of the cost of the assets acquired.
- 17. The revision also takes into consideration the possibility that in some situations more realistic results will be obtained by translating income for the entire fiscal year at the new rates in effect after such major fluctuation. Where dividends have been paid prior to a major change in the exchange rate, out of earnings of the current fiscal year, that portion of the income for the year should be considered as having been earned at the rate at which such dividend was paid irrespective of the rates used in translating the remainder of the earnings.
- 18. Consideration is also given to the matter of devaluation losses arising from world-wide readjustment, as to which the committee comments that where they are so material that their inclusion in the income statement would impair the significance of net income to an extent that misleading inferences might be drawn therefrom, consideration may appropriately be given to charging them to surplus.
- 19. The three preceding paragraphs relate to changes which, in part, give recognition to recommendations made in a statement entitled *Accounting Problems Arising from Devaluation of Foreign Currencies* issued as a research memorandum in November, 1949.

## Unamortized Discount, Issue Cost, and Redemption Premium on Bonds Refunded — Chapter 15

- 20. When Bulletin No. 2 was issued the committee considered three methods of writing off unamortized discount on refunded bonds (including issue cost and redemption premium):
- a. Write-off by a direct charge to earned surplus in the year of refunding;
- b. Amortization over the remainder of the original life of the issue retired; or
- c. Amortization over the life of the new issue.
- 21. Methods (a) and (b) were at that time approved as acceptable practice, with a comment that, with a continuance of the shift in emphasis from the balance sheet to the income account, method (b) might well become the preferred procedure. Method (c) was stated to be unacceptable except where such treatment was authorized or prescribed by a regulatory body to whose jurisdiction the accounting corporation was subject, or had been adopted by the company prior to the publication of Bulletin No. 2.
- 22. In chapter 15 a write-off in full in the year of refunding is stated to be acceptable. The committee believes, however, that the charge should be to income rather than earned surplus, unless the net income figure would thereby be so distorted as to invite misleading inferences. It further believes that any write-off made to earned surplus should be limited to the excess of the unamortized discount over the reduction of current taxes to which the refunding gives rise.
- 23. Distribution of the charge, by systematic charges against income, over the remainder of the original life of the bonds refunded (method (b)) is stated in chapter 15 to be the preferred method, conforming more closely than any other to current accounting opinion. When this method is adopted an amount equal to the reduction in current income tax resulting from the refunding should be deducted in the income statement, and the remainder should be apportioned over the future period.
- 24. Amortization over the life of the new issue, unless it is less than the remaining life of the old issue, is stated to be an unacceptable practice.

# Appendix C: BULLETINS NOT INCLUDED IN THE RESTATEMENT AND REVISION

- 1. Accounting Research Bulletins No. 13, Accounting for Special Reserves Arising out of the War, and No. 26, Accounting for the Use of Special War Reserves, are not included in the restatement. Those bulletins were formally withdrawn by the committee in July, 1951, by the issuance of addenda. At that time the committee commented that, "in the light of subsequent developments of accounting procedures, these bulletins should no longer be relied upon as a basis for the establishment and use of reserves."
- 2. Bulletin No. 17, *Post-War Refund of Excess-Profits Tax*, is withdrawn because it no longer has applicability under present tax laws.
- 3. Bulletins Nos. 7, 9, 12, 16, 20, 22, 34, and 39, which were issued as recommendations of the committee on terminology, are being published separately.

<sup>i</sup>ARB43, Ch.3A Footnote 1—Even though not actually set aside in special accounts, funds that are clearly to be used in the near future for the liquidation of long-term debts, payments to sinking funds, or for similar purposes should also, under this concept, be excluded from current assets. However, where such funds are considered to offset maturing debt which has properly been set up as a current liability, they may be included within the current asset classification.

ii ARB43, Ch.3A, Footnote 2—Examples of such current liabilities are obligations resulting from advance collections on ticket sales, which will normally be liquidated in the ordinary course of business by the delivery of services. On the contrary, obligations representing long-term deferments of the delivery of goods or services would not be shown as current liabilities. Examples of the latter are the issuance of a long-term warranty or the advance receipt by a lessor of rental for the final period of a ten-year lease as a condition to execution of the lease agreement.

iii ARB43, Ch.3A, Footnote 3—Loans accompanied by pledge of life insurance policies would be classified

as current liabilities when, by their terms or by intent, they are to be repaid within twelve months. The pledging of life insurance policies does not affect the classification of the asset any more than does the pledging of receivables, inventories, real estate, or other assets as collateral for a short-term loan. However, when a loan on a life insurance policy is obtained from the insurance company with the intent that it will not be paid but will be liquidated by deduction from the proceeds of the policy upon maturity or cancellation, the obligation should be excluded from current liabilities.

<sup>iv</sup>ARB43, Ch.3A, Footnote 3a—If the obligation is callable because of violations of certain provisions of the debt agreement, the creditor needs to waive its right with regard only to those violations.

<sup>v</sup>ARB43, Ch.3A, Footnote 3b—For example, the debtor has cured the violation after the balance sheet date and the obligation is not callable at the time the financial statements are issued.

viARB43, Ch.3A, Footnote 3c—*Probable* is defined in FASB Statement No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, as "likely to occur" and is used in the same sense in this paragraph.

vii ARB43, Ch.4, Footnote 1—Prudent reliance upon perpetual inventory records is not precluded.

viii ARB43, Ch.4, Footnote 2—In the case of goods which have been written down below cost at the close of a fiscal year, such reduced amount is to be considered the cost for subsequent accounting purposes. Paragraph 14 of APB Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, provides guidance for preparing interim financial statements.

<sup>ix</sup>ARB43, Ch.4 Footnote 2a—General and administrative expenses ordinarily should be charged to expense as incurred but may be accounted for as contract costs under the completed-contract method of accounting or, in some circumstances, as indirect contract costs by government contractors.

<sup>x</sup>ARB43, Ch.4, Footnote 3—Standard costs are acceptable if adjusted at reasonable intervals to reflect current conditions so that at the balance-sheet date standard costs reasonably approximate costs computed under one of the recognized bases. In such cases descriptive language should be used which will express this relationship, as, for instance, "approximate costs determined on the first-in first-out basis," or, if it is desired to mention standard costs, "at standard costs, approximating average costs."

xi ARB43, Ch.4, Footnote 4—The terms *cost or market, whichever is lower* and *lower of cost or market* are used synonymously in general practice and in this chapter. The committee does not express any preference for either of the two alternatives.

xii ARB43, Ch.7A, Footnote 1—See chapter 1A, paragraph 2.

xiii ARB43 Ch7B, Footnote 1—See, for instance, Freeman, "Stock Dividends and the New York Stock Exchange," *American Economic Review*, December, 1931 (pro), and Whitaker, "Stock Dividends, Investment Trusts, and the Exchange," *American Economic Review*, June, 1931 (con).

xiv ARB43, Ch.9A, Footnote 1—Study Group on Business Income, *Changing Concepts of Business Income*, New York: The Macmillan Co., 1952, 160pp.

<sup>XV</sup>ARB43, Ch.9B, Footnote 1—See Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, Chapter 7A, *Quasi-Reorganization or Corporate Readjustment*.

<sup>xvi</sup>ARB43, Ch.9C, Footnote 1—Accounting Terminology Bulletin No. 1, *Review and Resume*, paragraph 56.

xvii ARB43, Ch.10A, Footnote 1—*Magruder v. Supplee*, 316 U.S. 394 (1942).

<sup>xviii</sup>ARB43, Ch.11B, Footnote 1—The comments in this section are considered to be applicable also to price redetermination estimated to result in retroactive price reduction.

xix ARB43, Ch.11B, Footnote 2—See chapter 1, rule 1.

xx ARB43, Ch.11C, Footnote 1—See chapter 11(a), paragraph 22.

xxi ARB43, Ch.13B, Footnote 1—Bulletin 37, "Accounting for Compensation in the Form of Stock Options," was issued in November, 1948. Issuance of a revised bulletin in 1953 and its expansion to include stock purchase plans were prompted by the very considerable increase in the use of certain types of option and purchase plans following the enactment in 1950 of Section 130A of the Internal Revenue Code. This section granted specialized tax treatment to employee stock options if certain requirements were met as to the terms of the option, as to the circumstances under which the option was granted and could be exercised and as to the holding and disposal of the stock acquired thereunder. In general, the effect of Section 130A is to eliminate or minimize the amount of income taxable to the employee as compensation and to deny to the issuing corporation any tax deduction in respect of such restricted options. In 1951, the

Federal Salary Stabilization Board issued rules and regulations relating to stock options and purchase rights granted to employees whereby options generally comparable in nature to the restricted stock options specified in Section 130A might be considered for its purposes not to involve compensation, or to involve compensation only in limited amounts.

- ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*--Terminology bulletins published separately.
- ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*--Terminology bulletins published separately.
- ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*--Terminology bulletins published separately.
- $^{\rm XXV}$ ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*\*--Withdrawn. See explanation ff. in Appendix C.
  - ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*--Terminology bulletins published separately.
- ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*\*--Withdrawn. See explanation ff. in Appendix C.
  - ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*--Terminology bulletins published separately.
  - ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*--Terminology bulletins published separately.
- ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*\*--Withdrawn. See explanation ff. in Appendix C.
  - ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*--Terminology bulletins published separately.
- ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*--Terminology bulletins published separately.
- ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*\*--Withdrawn. See explanation ff. in Appendix C.
- ARB43, Appendix A, Footnote \*\*--Withdrawn. See explanation ff. in Appendix C.